ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE INDIANA GAMING COMMISSION

PUBLIC MEETING

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

DATE:

December 9, 1994

PLACE:

Indiana Government Center Auditorium

302 West Washington Street

Indianapolis, Indiana

REPORTED BY: Maria W. Collier, Notary Public

MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION

Alan I. Klineman, Chairman
Thomas F. Milcarek
Robert W. Sundwick
Dr. David E. Ross, Jr.
Gilmer Gene Hensley
Donald R. Vowels
Ann Marie Bochnowski

ALSO PRESENT

John J. Thar, Executive Director, and Members of the Staff

SHIREY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 201 North Illinois Street 300 Capital Center South Indianapolis, Indiana 46204 (317) 237-3350

I N D E X

Pag	<u>1e</u>
Presentation by Barden/President Riverboat Casino	
	4 L 1 L 7
Discussion by John Thar 2	2 0
Presentation by Dunes Marina Resort & Casino, Inc.	
Tom Bender Maureen Clementine Bruce Raben Bob Bernstein Ron Schultz Peter Wilday Allan Rachles Roy Pratt Doug Brown	3 3 3 4 3 5 5 3 6 6 3 7 3 8 1 1 1 6 6 5 2
Presentation by Lakeside Resorts, LLC	
David Hanlon 7 Phil Kenny 7	5 4 7 4 7 5 7 6
Presentation by Trump Hotels & Casinos Resorts, Inc	٠.
Patrick Dennehy Donald Tabbert	79 85 93

INDEX (Continued)

Senator Earline Rogers	102
Gilbert King, Jr.	107
Mayor Thomas Barnes	113

Questions to	all Applicants and the	
City	of Gary	120

MR. KLINEMAN: Barden will now proceed with their presentation. It's five minutes after 10:00. If you'll identify yourselves for the record since we are making a permanent record.

MR. HUGHES: Mr. Chairman, Jim Hughes.

I'm the attorney for Barden/PRC Gary. I am not speaking, and I am not making the presentation.

Mr. Barden and Mr. Ellers are doing that, and they are on their way to the microphone momentarily.

MR. ELLERS: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the Commission. Mr. Barden is here and should be here within a couple seconds to start the presentation.

MR. KLINEMAN: I did see Mr. Barden so I know he's present. Mr. Barden, you're down to 27 minutes. I'm sorry we did start promptly, but they tell us that you're the one that's going to make the presentation, and you have about 27 minutes.

MR. BARDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I went out to get some water so my mouth wouldn't be dry, and in running back it's dry again so it didn't do much good. Mr. Chairman, honorable members of the Commission, Mr. Thar and his

staff, it's our pleasure to appear before you this morning in the final step in the awarding of a license for the City of Gary.

First, I'd like to compliment the state and this Gaming Commission for this process. I think it's been fair. I think it's been thorough. And being in the capital today and seeing these gorgeous facilities and seeing this Capital City of yours I can see why all of you are proud to be Hoosiers, and, in fact, the quality of life in this state and the quality of the people in the state I think are second to none in this country.

I want to say that our process in Gary has also been very thorough. The city has exercised integrity. The city is committed to assisting its citizens. The city, as you know, has many resources in which to accomplish a lot of its goals and objectives. We've tried all along to be of service and to help that community.

We have followed the rules at the city level and on the city process. We have followed the rules with this Commission and its process. We have exercised high character and our

integrity has been impeccable. With respect to the project our commitment is unchanged. We have not wavered. We're committed financially and otherwise to achieving the goals that we set forth for this project.

One of the things that I have always practiced is hands-on knowledge and expertise concerning the product which we are trying to develop. I think our knowledge of the site and the sites in the City of Gary is far and above the knowledge of anyone else because of our due diligence and because of our commitment to get it right the first time.

We spent a vast amount of time and resources to understanding the situation and to provide solutions. We have provided the engineering work for the application to the Army Corps. We've provided tremendous resources not just to the city but also to the other applicants to express our spirit of fairness in this whole process because we knew that we had information that they perhaps did not gather or secure on their own, nevertheless, in the spirit of cooperation, and we think this is a partnership and a cooperative effort not with just the two

developers but the state as a partner in this endeavor. The state has a large stake in what occurs here, and the city has a part in this endeavor.

Since the September 1 hearing we have continued to work, to do our due diligence to try to bring this project about in a timely fashion so that the benefits can start flowing to the state and to the city. We've spent over \$100,000 to conduct a wave model study in the harbor and a hundred thousand foot warehouse that, in essence, tells us about the viability of the harbor, the safety features, the impact of boats being located there whether docked or what have you.

We have that study complete. We have submitted summaries and findings to the other developers, and I think -- would you raise that up, Don, to just show what kind of work product went into that (Indicating). Those are the findings of that study. Lehigh, the owners of the particular site that we're working with, were present, so was Marble Head, so we've tried to get all of the operational issues out of the way. We've made them a party to it. We'll continue to negotiate with them, with the

operational people on the site, to resolve all the issues.

We've initiated discussion with the railroads to resolve all the overpass issues and established a rapport with them. We've resolved environmental issues with no dredging required, no outside harbor work, no disturbance of the beach required, no loss of wetland, no historical building impact. We had studies performed on the historical buildings of the site.

We've spent over a hundred thousand dollars for the stone for the breakwater, and that is in process. And we have reserved time at the quarry for that stone to be produced so that during the months of February and March all of the stone can be produced and delivered, and we can be in construction before mining season so that we can be operational in early summer or late spring of next year. We've prepared the utility routing. It's acceptable for water and sewage.

We think we've made tremendous progress over the 12 or so months that we've concentrated on this location, and we think that within a short period of time, if we're one of the

selected developers, we can start construction and have this facility operational and open, keeping in mind that a breakwater, in our opinion, for the safety of the passengers is necessary to have a viable business. If you want your customers to return we think that it's essential that you have an environment of comfort.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just as a quick overview of our economic development package for the city, as you know, it totals \$116 million. The lakeside and harbor improvements are 7,875,000; our pavillion and other gaming related developments are 14,100,000; our other enhancements are 42,525,000, and that includes a provision for theaters, restaurants, retail, family entertainment facility, outlet mall; the allocation of 5,000,000 for land purchase; demolition and site preparation of 1,500,000; 35,000,000 is a credit towards the New Yorker; 10,000,000 to refurbish the Union Station. In addition, we are providing three percent of our gross revenue for other economic development to the City of Gary over and above the statutory five percent required.

We have said, because we were trying to

do a coordinated development, that if the other applicant does not build a hotel part of our development package can be designed to build a hotel. It's the city's feeling and most others' feeling that one 300 room hotel is adequate for the current market. We also think that that's a good idea and a good concept. I have a lot of family members in Michigan and Illinois and Ohio, and they all need a place to stay also. I always like to mention my family because I think it's a reflection on the kind of person that I am. I'm from a family of 13, from humble beginnings, and you never in our family forget your roots.

As I said, we've played by the rules throughout this process with the highest integrity. We would not try to knock anyone else for our own benefit or criticize someone else. We've always tried to take the high road and the positive road, and no matter what happens we're very proud of that because we feel good about our conduct.

Our ownership percentage has not changed. The intended spirit of it was for local ownership and participation but not a giveaway.

All of our local owners will be Gary residents as

defined by the city, and they will pay for their interests. There's no free load, no carried interest. It's cash up front, no notes. They will have something of this if we are successful, and if the project is successful they will be rewarded accordingly.

Along the lines of playing by the rules and sticking to the agenda, I'd like to call on my partner, Ed Ellers, the president of President Riverboat Casinos, to go through point by point Mr. Thar's letter dated November 28th outlining the criteria for today's session. Mr. Ellers.

MR. ELLERS: Thank you, Don. Good morning, members of the Commission. I wanted to first address the position of President today versus the position we were in on September 1st. A lot of things have happened, almost all of them positive. I'm proud to stand here today to speak to this Commission at the same time that the Admiral of St. Louis opened this morning with 1,500 slot machines as a result of the vote that took place on November 8th.

There were over 2,000 people lined up at the levy at Lacledes Landing, and we are now fully operational on the Admiral with 1,500 slot

machines and 70 game tables. That will mean increased cash flow for this company. When we stood here in September we talked about it being break even at best. We said it wouldn't go on without slot machines. That is no longer the case. That's the positive development in our view for that property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But, in addition, our Iowa property, which just within the last several months, September 1st, has been exposed to unlimited gaming, our numbers there have remained constant and very, very good. Again, a very positive situation, tremendous increases in our revenue in Iowa. So both our property in St. Louis, where we're anticipating as a result of slot machines an increase in cash flow, and also in Iowa, where things have held up very, very strongly, we feel we're in a much better position. Biloxi has held its own. We are still marginally profitable there despite intense competition. That property remains profitable through this last quarter. all of our operations are doing very well, and we now have the Admiral on board.

One of the questions asked was about the increase or decrease in the stock price, a very

sensitive subject to all of us. Our stock prices remain fairly constant. We have traded pretty much since the April election in Missouri somewhere between eight and a half and nine and a half. It has gone up as high as eleven and a quarter following the boat in St. Louis and has been down as low as seven and a third.

I did not check yesterday's close, but it's somewhere in the mid-eights. I believe when we were here in September it was in the high eights or right around nine dollars so it has been fairly stable, very steady. We have not experienced a lot of the radical ups and downs that some of the other companies in the sector have experienced. We have had that previously, but we've been relatively stable.

We had talked the last time about an application in Carter Lake, Iowa, and I believe at that time I said that was a possibility. We have since filed an application in Carter Lake, Iowa, with the Iowa Racing and Gaming Commission. We are one of six applicants for Carter Lake. That decision will be made sometime in late January 1995. We don't even know how many licenses will be awarded. It could be one,

it could be two, it could be three, it could be none. The Gaming Commission is determining now how many they're going to issue.

1.3

In the event that we are fortunate enough to receive that we already have a boat that is paid for in full. We have gaming equipment on that boat that is paid for in full. The commitment there will not effect in any way our ability to carry forth the Gary situation. Most of that is already done. Over half of the commitment at Carter Lake is already paid for, in the bank, and been taken care of.

One of the most significant developments that has occurred, in addition to the Admiral and Iowa and increased cash flow, is with respect to our boat. The last time we were here there was a lot of discussion about the various certifications of the boat. Since that last hearing we have taken steps, in conjunction with the Coast Guard and our naval architect, to increase the certification on our boat.

Whether it can operate as a practical matter and whether it can be convenient to people in exposed waters, that's a different story, but as a strictly Coast Guard and technical matter

our boat will be certified to operate in

Buffington Harbor with an exposed water situation
with an unprotected harbor the same as anybody
else's boat that's been represented here. We've
worked with the Coast Guard. We've worked with
the Adjahny & Bay (Phonetic) who are naval
architects.

Our boat, which is now in Lake Erie -it's already made it through some of the Great
Lakes under its own power -- it's sitting in
Erie, Pennsylvania, right now for the winter in
the Great Lakes, and should we be fortunate
enough to receive permission to operate in Gary
our boat will be able to operate without any
improvement in Buffington Harbor under the
certificate we will receive.

So we are taking steps to modify our boat to make sure that that's taken care of, and I might add they're relatively minor modifications. This was not a major undertaking because the boat is already certified at one level. We're just taking it up one notch. We just wanted to put that to bed.

I think that those are the -- we have had no changes in any key personnel. There's

nobody who was here before even September who is not with us. We have not added anybody. It is the same people on the application, the same company, the same partners, the same everything, so we have no change in that.

Again, all I would -- what I would emphasize is that we are experienced boat operators. We are experienced gaming operators. We are experienced riverboat gaming operators. We have a proven track record. We think it's a good one. We're proud of it. We're proud of our company. I think today we stand before you with the opening of the Admiral with the slot machines, with our experience in unlimited gaming in Iowa having been positive, with our boat now absolutely in the highest certification possible to operate on a lake, and with our knowledge of what's going on in Buffington.

And we firmly believe that once the people are designated to be the licensees that the situation in Buffington will get resolved. I think that's a reasonable expectation. If we have a meeting with the people from Lehigh I think, as the Chairman indicated at the close of the last hearings, it's not really going to begin

to focus until somebody is there to sit with them. It's kind of an abstract right now. When somebody's actually -- a real person is sitting across from Lehigh we have every indication that that's going to be resolved quickly. We think we know how to do that.

1.2

So in summary, we're ready, willing, and able to carry on in the same manner we talked about in September but with what we believe to be a stronger company, both of us, now without question. Thank you.

MR. BARDEN: I'd like to just summarize, and I may even finish three minutes early. If you look at what it takes to get the job done it requires a boat, it requires money, some expertise and development, and some experience in operating. Our company is the most experienced company in riverboat gaming than any of the other applicants. Our company is the most experienced in operating boats than any other company. Our company is the most experienced in operating boats than any other company. Our company is the most experienced in urban development.

We have, we think, superior knowledge of the site, intimate detail. We have volumes and volumes of studies, paperwork. More importantly

we have established a good working relationship with all of the entities, the Coast Guard, Army Corps of Engineers, Lehigh, Marble Head, the city, USX. We've done our homework.

Our boat does not have to go under any extensive remodification. It's ready to sail through the lakes already. I've seen it. It's a beautiful boat. If we need a larger boat then we have the resources to bring on a larger boat. This one is ready to be deployed now, to generate revenue now, not the uncertainty of something in the future, but now.

Financing is a very key element. It takes time to secure financing. I'm happy to announce to you that the City Council of Detroit approved the transfer of my cable system on Wednesday by a nine to nothing vote. Within two weeks I'll have approximately \$100 million in cash, a private individual with one board of directors, and that's me, to deploy that capital as I see fit.

And I will deploy it in Gary to get this project done cash ready, no covenants, no restrictions, unlimited use of the cash and the capital. That's in addition to cash in the bank

set aside by President Riverboats for this project specifically, cash money, no strings attached, no going to the market, no printing of offering memorandums or circulars, just decisions by two people, the head of President and myself. We can start spending money today. We've been spending money, and we can accelerate that. We can commit cash, and people will sign contracts and perform for us because they know they will be paid.

We have the ability to open in five to six months. It's important, because the state is a partner, the city is a partner, that a revenue stream be created. It's important for us to demonstrate to everyone else in the state that this process is moving ahead and that it's going to be successful and that no one will be embarrassed.

Reliability of our team is unquestionable. We can deliver. We have the experience. I'll close by a personal note. I'm quoting from a magazine article that appeared about me in June of '92. It was in regards to a project that I performed for the government of Wayne County. It's quoted by the deputy

executive director in respect to his satisfaction of a \$61 million detention facility that I constructed for the county.

Mr. Dugan said about me that, "He completed the job ahead of schedule and \$500,000 under budget. From a taxpayer's standpoint he's a dream to work with." That was one of the highest compliments that I have received in my business career, and I hope that that compliment is repeated in Gary and in Indianapolis. Thank you.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you. We have one item that we need to take care of which is at the conclusion of the Gary hearings the Commission asked that the applicants submit certain material concerning their local investors, that having become a question that the Commission was interested in.

In addition, I think we asked Barden or they indicated that they thought maybe some of the computations which had been made in the reports that the Commission was using were not the same numbers that were viable and in the application. And I think what has happened, we have received that material from the applicant

concerning the local investments, but in addition to submitting the figures from Barden and the local investors from each of the applicants some of the applicants submitted some additional material, some of which could have been considered amendments to their application.

And I think at this time in order for everyone to understand where we are I would ask Mr. Thar to just briefly tell us concerning some of the material that has been submitted which had not been requested by the Commission.

MR. THAR: Thank you. If I may back up, Mr. Chairman, just briefly. As you indicated, in addition to local investor material, which we did request of Barden/President Riverboat Casinos Gary LLC, to submit numbers that it was utilizing during the course of the Gary hearings up there that were, it became apparent, different than those being utilized by the Commission and utilized in the analysis. In conversations the Commission did request that those numbers be submitted that were being used by the Barden/PRC Group.

Those numbers were, in fact, submitted. They submitted those numbers to the staff,

Policy and the Environment. An analysis of the numbers submitted indicated that those numbers did not substantially change the overall relationship of Barden/PRC's package either as to the way it was presented in the Commission analysis or in relationship to the other applicants.

As a result, it is the recommendation of the staff that the Commission not integrate those numbers submitted by Barden/PRC into any of its analyses and go with the numbers that were originally utilized. I would request that the Commission take a position on that with regard to a motion.

MR. KLINEMAN: The question, as stated by Mr. Thar, is should we use the new numbers which we did request be submitted by this applicant which, according to our analysis, doesn't really change their position vis-a-vis their relationship with the other applicants and their overall conclusions which were available to us up in Gary. So there probably will be a motion to not consider the new numbers which have been submitted by Barden and allow the report to

1 stand as made. 2 MR. THAR: Precisely correct. 3 MR. KLINEMAN: Do I here such a motion? MR. VOWELS: I'll make a motion. 4 5 MR. KLINEMAN: Is there a second? 6 MR. HENSLEY: I'll second. 7 MR. KLINEMAN: Is there any discussion? 8 Does everyone understand what we're doing? We're 9 basically saying that the new numbers that were 10 submitted pursuant to our request really don't 11 change the situation so why use them. Hearing no 12 discussion --13 MR. HENSLEY: Excuse me. We do want to 14 clarify though that they were looked at to make 15 sure that they didn't cause any impact. 16 MR. KLINEMAN: The record certainly 17 should show that they were, in fact, analyzed and 18 looked at. Well, if there are no further 19 discussions or questions all those in favor of 20 the motion say "I". 21 COMMISSION MEMBERS: I. 22 MR. KLINEMAN: Contrary? 2.3 COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) 24 MR. KLINEMAN: The I's have it. Then in 25

addition, Mr. Thar, there were other materials

submitted; is that correct?

MR. THAR: That's correct. In addition to the information the Commission requested, applicants for the licenses in Gary submitted additional information that the Commission would like, as was outlined to the Commission in a letter. I can outline again what those materials are by applicant.

With regard to Barden/President
Riverboat Casino they did not submit any
information that was not requested meaning they
only submitted the local investor information
along with the numbers that the Commission
requested. There is no action that needs to be
taken with regard to anything additional with
regard to that applicant.

Dunes Marina Resort & Casino has submitted, in addition to local investor information, the following: A letter and additional drawings setting forth an expanded explanation of Monarch's vision for Gary being a destination of tourism and resort. The vision now includes East Chicago and a newly designed breakwater and sketches that indicate the ability to integrate its plans with all of the other

applicants.

Secondly, a further explanation of the bowling concept indicating the increased tourism bowling tournaments on a large scale would bring to the area. This included an extensive or massive list of bowlers signed up to attend functions in Reno, Nevada.

Third, a letter designed to clarify the issues brought up during the hearing. The letter discusses, first, Dunes' position should the cost of the land be in excess of \$5 million; secondly, Dunes' boat status; and, third, Dunes' position on developments in other gaming jurisdictions.

A fourth item was two pieces of paper which were described as showing the strength of Monarch's stock and the financial questionability of the Trump organization.

Five, a report prepared by Crowe Chizek for Dunes illustrating the project costs, benefits, and total revenue generated by Dunes in conjunction with each of the other applicants. The report also briefly discusses the issues with Dunes' boat and other applicants' boats.

Sixth, a letter explaining, first, Dunes ability to work with other applicants; second,

Dunes ability to start for a quick start; and,
third, setting out three weaknesses of the Trump
proposal.

Seventh, a letter introducing a second letter and photographs, the second letter and photographs describing and depicting work completed and to be completed on the Dunes boat. That concludes what was submitted until this week when Dunes submitted an outline of a description of -- submitted materials which they described as being an outline of what the presentation would be today so those materials would not be considered. I assume that will part of their presentation.

Lakeside Resorts submitted the information concerning the local investor issue and a bond volume. The bond volume included --

MR. KLINEMAN: Excuse me, Mr. Thar.

Maybe we should do something one at a time.

MR. THAR: Sure.

MR. KLINEMAN: That might make it a little clearer to everyone.

MR. THAR: With regard to the information submitted that was not requested by the Commission by Dunes Marina Resort & Casino

the staff's position is that some of that 1 represents a substantial difference from not only their application but from their presentation during the Gary hearings on August 31 through September 2nd, and as a result that should not be made part of the record and should not -- those materials should not be considered by the Commission's deliberations.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If they wish to submit the other materials to explain their position with regard to the Gary hearing they can be either reexplained today or have already been presented to the Commission. So it would be the staff's position that the additional materials not requested not be a part of the deliberations or considerations of this Commission.

MR. KLINEMAN: Does everyone on the Commission understand where we are with respect to these particular materials? We've analyzed the moving target problem. If people are continually changing it it makes it just impossible for the board to really compare apples and apples and come up with any realistic analysis by our staff or by the experts so I would agree with Mr. Thar that we probably should

```
1
     reject the new material that's been submitted by
 2
     the Dunes Marina Resort & Casinos.
 3
              MR. HENSLEY: But that doesn't prevent
 4
     them from discussing whatever they want to
     discuss in the proper place.
 5
 6
              MR. KLINEMAN: Right. As I said before,
 7
     I think it should be the Commission's position
 8
     that anyone can say whatever they want to, but
 9
     they should be forewarned in respect to their
10
     official submissions in writing. Anyone have any
11
     other comments or questions?
                                  Is there a motion
12
     then to reject the additional materials submitted
13
     by the Dunes Marina which were not requested by
14
     the Commission during the hearings in Gary?
15
              DR. ROSS:
                         So moved.
16
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                            Is there a second?
17
              MS. BOCHNOWSKI:
                               I'll second.
18
              MR. KLINEMAN: It's seconded by Ann.
19
     Any further discussions? All those in favor of
20
     that motion say "I".
21
              COMMISSION MEMBERS:
                                    I.
22
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                             Contrary?
23
              COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.)
24
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                             The I's have it.
                                                The
25
     motion is passed.
                        The next would be Lakeside; is
```

that correct, Mr. Thar?

MR. THAR: That's correct, Mr.

Chairman. In addition to the information
concerning the local investors, Lakeside
submitted in a bound volume other information
which is outlined as follows: The first area of
the volume was financial performance. One
section was Lakeside Resorts' current pro forma.

It was a revised update since their May 1923-1994
amendment; (b) a northwest Indiana gaming market
statement; and (c) their comparison to the other
three applicants.

The second section of their report discussed financial commitments. The first part was adjusted gross revenue to the City of Gary; (b) capital for land acquisition; (c) capital for infrastructure and/or City of Gary projects including Sheraton senior citizens housing and Buffington Harbor Hotel; (d) funding for Lakeside Economic Development Corporation.

The fourth section -- the third section was the local investor information which has been passed on to the Commission. The fourth section was a description, a more expanded description, of Sportopia including: (a) an overview with

1 sections (b) and (c) being descriptions of 2 Sportopia in Branson, Missouri, and Gary, 3 Indiana, respectively; and (d) a financial 4 analysis of Sportopia for Gary, Indiana. 5 The fifth section was a summary of the 6 Lakeside presentation. Some of these items were 7 discussed by Lakeside during the presentation, and it's not the staff's position it should be 8 9 barred from discussions here. But to now 10 incorporate these materials before the Commission 11 for its deliberations it would again be the staff's position that that not be done by the 12 13 Commission, that the acceptance of those 14 materials beyond the local investor information 15 be rejected. 16 MR. KLINEMAN: Do I hear a motion to 17 reject the additional materials submitted by 18 Lakeside Resorts LLC which were not requested at 19 the conclusion of the Gary hearings? 20 MR. HENSLEY: I'll make the motion. 21 MR. KLINEMAN: Motion made by Mr. 22 Hensley. Is there a second? 23 MR. MILCAREK: I'll second. 24 MR. KLINEMAN: Second by Tom. Any

further discussion? All those in favor say "I".

1 COMMISSION MEMBERS: I. 2 MR. KLINEMAN: Contrary? 3 COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) MR. KLINEMAN: That motion is adopted. 5 That leaves us Trump Hotels & Casinos Resorts, 6 Inc. 7 Yes, sir. In addition to MR. THAR: 8 local investor information the Trump organization 9 submitted only one other item. That was a 10 construction time line showing project 11 development for the areas of preconstruction, 12 temporary and permanent facility design and 13 construction. It is a one page document. 14 Again, while that may be important and 15 part of the Trump overall presentation today, the 16 acceptance of that document would be recommended 17 by the staff to not be accepted as part of the 18 deliberations or part of the presentation. 19 request that that be rejected. 20 MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. Are there any 21 comments concerning this matter? 22 MR. HENSLEY: I'd like to comment that I 23 think that I requested that particular slide just 24 so that we would have something that we could

refer to, but I agree that it's not necessary for

1 the purposes of this evaluation, but I do 2 appreciate it as sufficient. 3 MR. KLINEMAN: Anybody else have 4 anything they want to say? Do I hear a motion to 5 reject the submission of the time line by the Trump Hotels & Casino Resorts, Inc.? 6 7 MR. HENSLEY: I'll make the motion. 8 MR. KLINEMAN: Mr. Hensley. Is there a 9 second? MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I'll second it. 10 11 MR. KLINEMAN: Seconded by Ann. 12 further discussion? All those in favor say "I". 13 COMMISSION MEMBERS: Τ. 14 MR. KLINEMAN: Contrary? 15 COMMISSION MEMBERS: (No response.) 16 MR. KLINEMAN: That motion is adopted. 17 I think that pretty well cleans up what we're 18 As we said before, that doesn't foreclose doing. 19 those people from discussing these matters which 20 are not going to be part of the permanent written With that we'll move forward to the 21 22 presentation by Dunes Marina Resort & Casinos, 23 Inc. which is to start by my watch at 10:45 for 30 minutes. 24

MR. BROWN:

Mr. Chairman, might we have

```
1
     a moment to set up a couple of visual aids,
 2
     please?
 3
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                             I'm sorry?
 4
              MR. BROWN: Might we have a moment to
 5
     set up a couple of visual aids before we begin?
 6
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                             That would be fine.
 7
              MR. BROWN:
                          Thank you.
 8
              (At this time a short break in the
9
     proceedings was had.)
10
              MR. KLINEMAN: Can we come back to
11
     order.
12
                          Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
              MR. BROWN:
13
     members of the Commission, staff. My name is
     Doug Brown. It's our privilege to represent in
14
15
     Indiana Monarch Casino & Resort, Inc. and its
16
     subsidiary, Dunes Marina Resort & Casino, Inc.
                                                       Ι
17
     am joined today by Monarch's co-chairmen of the
18
     board, Bob and John Farahi, as well as Monarch's
19
     architect and partner who is Peter Wilday.
20
              Also appearing here on behalf of Monarch
21
     either in its presentation or for questions and
22
     answers are representatives of Monarch's ship
23
     builder, Bender Shipyards; Monarch's lenders,
```

First Interstate Bank, Bankers Trust Company, and

Jeffers & Company; Monarch's builder, Browning

24

Roose; Monarch's marine engineer, the Evanhorst Group; B. Wagner Company, the company engaged by Monarch to plan and implement its affirmative action hiring and minority supplier, vendor, and contractor program; and Monarch's transportation engineer, MSE Corporation; Monarch's Las Vegas counsel, Michael Barne; Monarch's local counsel in Gary, Fred Icor.

1.3

The purpose of today's presentation, as the Commission has noted, is to bring the Commission up to date on any changes of circumstance since the September hearings. To begin that process I'd like to turn the floor over to Tom Bender of Bender Ship Builders.

MR. BENDER: Thank you, Doug. We have the Monarch Casino at our yard in Mobile, Alabama. Since the hearings in September Monarch has spent over \$500,000 on whatever critical work items were necessary to insure that the delivery was maintained for May 26th in Gary. These items of work include all engineering necessary to immediately begin construction. All the engineering was performed in accordance with the U.S. Coast Guard regulations for operation in Lake Michigan.

Also major items of material or long lead items have been requisitioned. Steel has been purchased, shop blasted, and prepared for fabrication upon being so instructed. Also the superstructure has been removed, and all asbestos that was on board has been removed.

In summary, with all the work that has been performed we feel confident the vessel could be delivered in Gary ready for operation on May 26th. Thank you.

MS. CLEMENSTEIN: Good morning. I'm

Maureen Clementine with First Interstate Bank of

Nevada. First Interstate, with over \$55 billion

in assets is the preemminent lender within the

entire worldwide gaming industry. We currently

have in place over \$1 billion in syndicated

credit commitments in gaming companies. I am

pleased to have the opportunity to personally be

here today to speak on behalf of our valued

customer, Monarch Casinos.

First Interstate has developed a very solid relationship with Monarch over the last six years. Our involvement with Monarch began in 1989 when we arranged and syndicated an \$18 million term loan for the first phase of

construction of the Clarion Hotel and Casino in Reno, Nevada. In mid-1993 we again arranged and syndicated a \$30 million term loan for the construction of the Clarion's phase two expansion. Both construction phases were completed on time and within budget.

The Farahis are very capable in managing the complexities involved in developing and constructing a large scale casino project and, most importantly, delivering a first class product. We have again extended our commitment to Monarch Casinos by recently approving a \$10.5 million increase to the company's existing \$30 million term loan. This \$10.5 million commitment is now available to Monarch for the initial funding requirements of the proposed Dunes Marina operation. Thank you.

MR. RABEN: Good day. I'm Bruce Raben, director of investment banking at Jeffers & Company in Los Angeles. Since our last appearance in Gary long-term interest rates have gone up 6/10 of one percent. The stock market has gone down 6 percent. Monarch's stock has gone up 27 percent. In the new issue bond market casino financing for Harrah's Jazz in New Orleans

has been sucessfully completed, albeit somewhat more expensive than originally anticipated.

We believe that Monarch's stock has gone up so strongly primarily because of the successful completion of its expansion in Reno on time, on budget, and also in anticipation of the potential here in Indiana. During its recently completed third quarter revenues in the month of July were up 15 percent, in August 50 percent, and in September 70 percent.

One of the nation's top money managers, Ralph Weiner, who runs the Acorn Funds in Chicago, recently picked in USA Today Monarch as his top pick in 1995. We believe that Monarch's credibility in the bank, bond, and equity markets is enhanced, the project is very financeable, and we are ready to proceed immediately. Thank you.

MR. BERNSTEIN: Good morning. My name is Bob Bernstein. I'm a vice president with Bankers Trust Company. Since 1992 Bankers Trust has raised over \$3 billion for gaming companies. Since we last met in September Bankers Trust has completed two gaming financings. We raised over \$400 million for Azcor Corporation, and we raised over \$600 million for the Harrah's Jazz project.

Both deals included high yield debt in a tough market, and we got them done.

Investors will view the Dunes project favorably. The demographics of the area are strong. The Chicago boats are finally doing well. The quality of the proposed project and management, a management that has a proven its ability to develop and profitably operate a gaming facility. We are confident we can raise financing for the project, and we'll be here to get it started. Thank you.

MR. SCHULTZ: My name is Ron Schultz. If am president of the Abon Marche Group with principal offices in Indiana and Michigan. We specialize in the design and development of marine and harbor projects in the Great Lakes, coastal areas of the United States, and internationally. We have analyzed the existing plan for the bay and found a solution which can be permanently built in time for the May arrival of the boat.

Existing plans received numerous objections, mainly from Lehigh and Marble Head Corporations, due to the impact of their operations. As you can see on the chart, the

proposed breakwaters greatly interfere with their operation and add many new problems like crowding of the harbor. Specific objections from them include safety in navigation and interference with their operations.

Other objections from special interest groups like the Army Corps of Engineers are that we could take the Great Lakes bottom lands through construction of breakwaters and construction impacts particularly during spawning periods in April and May.

The final issue of this plan is that no construction can occur until a permit is issued. Construction timing is going to take approximately four to six months or longer. Couple that with the proper permit process of at least four months if a permit's ever issued, and that would mean no boat would be in operation for almost a year.

Our solution to this problem is construction of a protected inland basin and storm gate. This plan is construction free and obstruction free in the harbor and has virtually no impact to all the environmental issues previously raised. When a storm occurs we simply

close the gate and are sealed off and guarded from the Great Lakes. This will assure passenger comfort and safety no matter what is happening in Lake Michigan.

Again, the specific issues of this plan are: Waves, we have no impact to them; bottom lands, we're not taking any of the public's bottom lands through breakwaters; navigation and safety, there's no impact; winter construction is not a problem; construction during the spawning season has no impact; Lehigh and Marble Head operations are not impacted; the Monarch boat can operate in the existing harbor with Coast Guard certifications.

In summary, the schedule of this project and the beauty of it also allows the construction to occur even while permits are being received by the Corps. We have done that on several projects and received Corps endorsement. Ninety percent of the work, therefore, can be completed while permits are being received. Due to the virtual impact-free issues of this project permits can be issued in two to three months so the construction can be completed in time for the May arrival of the boat.

We have verified and received committments for these schedules from Lakeman Engineering and Harvey Construction, two of the Great Lakes' largest marine contractors, and they have confirmed their schedules.

MR. WILDAY: I'd like to go back to the hearings we had on April 31st in Gary when we were asked by Jack Thar to respond to the question Should the Commission seek to team us up with somebody other than the preferred applicant who is Gary's choice could we work with them and how would that effect our project. Our design efforts have been aimed largely at that question to try to come up with those answers and respond to the Commission on that matter.

As you remember, we proposed a destination resort at Emerald Bay that envisioned rooms which was the promise of economic development and the equivalent of rooms which was our indoor theme park with the tournament bowling capacity to create tourism beyond the rooms that we were envisioning. The room component was 300 high rise rooms plus 500 rooms on the beach if you remember.

When Jack Thar asked me, and the

Commission, Could we work with someone else and what would our project look like I didn't know what to say because we hadn't seen the other projects proposed yet so I didn't know how well our vision for Emerald Bay would mesh with either the Lakeside proposal or the Trump proposal. So our diligent work and our design work continuing in that effort was to that end.

We looked at the Lakeside proposal for Sportopia, and we put it on a plan to see how it would look, and largely it didn't affect the overall site plan that we had proposed together with our co-applicant from Gary. We envisioned the connection to this anchor at East Chicago and recognized the fact that the critical mass we could create at Emerald Bay would envision a shuttle system of some sort down to the beach to try to tie these two properties together and create a situation that could compete with the potential for dockside gaming maybe in Chicago, also the recently announced Native American proposal further down this way.

So from our standpoint the fact that we have three boats here offers an equivalent to the dockside alternative because if a patron of ours

comes and approaches the parking lot and then as they get to the boat and the gate comes up and they say you have to wait three hours for the next boat, if we can have three boats tied together here and a shuttle system that goes down to the beach to link them all together we have the advantage of being able to always get on a boat.

So our evaluation of the Lakeside proposal, without getting into what the tourism potential is as far as Sportopia, we envisioned it taking the place of what President's proposal was to create the factory outlet malls, the entertainment, and the theaters in this area. Our parking would still remain here. Our destination resort would still remain out here on the point, and we would anchor this end of the harbor, this Emerald Bay destination resort.

When we got to the Trump proposal that was brought forward, for the first time another applicant was basically singing off the same sheet of music we were. They were talking about rooms and in addition to that the equivalent of rooms in terms entertainment. So we, responding to Mr. Thar's request, envisioned how we could

work with the Trump organization to accommodate their plan, and we came up with this.

1 B

They had proposed to build 300 rooms plus another 300 in the center. What that did to potential for the destination resort of Emerald Bay was it left our resort anchoring this end, the East Chicago resort anchoring this end, and added a new destination resort in the middle. When I got back to my office and I was looking at all these proposals I had seen that the East Chicago breakwater envisioned this big, long kind of snake at that end, and then the Trump proposal had to build a separate breakwater here, and we had all the breakwaters built in the harbor for the President's proposal.

And when you string all these breakwaters together they add up to a breakwater of that length. So if we all joined hands here in the vision of a grand destination resort in Emerald Bay possibly we could create an inland harbor where the boats could cruise. This harbor would be basically conceived to be one depth, and in the event -- or in terms of passenger safety and passenger comfort even if a torpedo hit one of the boats and sunk it nobody would get their

feet wet. It wouldn't go anywhere.

So the promise of tourism as a means to economic development was enhanced I felt by the inclusion of this proposal with ours. And to answer the other part of Mr. Thar's question, we know we can work with President's Group. We've been working with them for over a year on this project, and with the grand scheme that we presented here for a destination resort that we brought with models and tapes and renderings last time reflects that.

I feel very confident that should be we be teamed up with Lakeside the project would look very similar to that. In the event that we're teamed up with Trump, which is the third part of your question, we feel that we can work with their organization. We've spent quite a bit of time with them discussing the possibility of this. Thank you.

Oh, I had one more sketch of this. I might as well put it up. I sort of painted a picture of it and said this is what Emerald Bay could look like. There were underwater lights, and we defined the cruise route. We went ahead and rendered out the Trump proposal, ours

anchoring this end of the harbor, and Show Boat at this end.

We feel that in the event that you picked up the Monarch proposal from here you wouldn't have an anchor at this end of the harbor. I think we're necessary to this. We know that this is a given and that we're here, and whoever we get teamed up with we feel very confident that we can work with them. Thank you.

MR. RACHLES: I'm Allan Rachles with Crowe Chizek, an accounting and consulting firm in Indianapolis. After the hearings in Gary our client, Peter Wilday, asked our staff to sit down and do some numbers indicating what the five year economic impact would be if we were teamed up with other partners and showing some numbers teamed up with our co-designated City of Gary, Barden/President.

So we took all the numbers that were filed with the Commission, and in order to make sure that we were comparing apples and apples we took the multipliers that we used, which is the RIMS II model which I believe SPEA has also used, and to refresh your memory at the end of August,

which seems like a long time ago, we came up with our first year impact and five year impact.

Our one year impact, the first year we had an economic impact of \$219 million in our project, and our five year impact was 823,000,000. In the interest of trying to manage our time well if anybody has any questions about what these numbers make up or any of the numbers we would be more than glad to do that in the Q and A.

Taking only the numbers that were submitted to you and using our multipliers and so forth, as you see on the chart, the team up of Monarch's numbers and Trump, which is the largest number to five years, is 1,589,000,000; Monarch and Barden/President together is 1,447,000,000; Trump and Barden/President together is 1,389,000,000; Monarch and Lakeside, 1,353,000,000; Barden and Lakeside 1,212,000,000.

We would be glad to discuss these numbers with you later, but I think you visually are able to see what the five year impact was if we work together as an anchor in this project with any one of the partners that you might

designate that we work with.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Allan. For an additional endorsement of the two city-endorsed candidates it's my privilege to represent Roy Pratt, president of the County Council of the City of Gary.

MR. PRATT: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, and other officials, on Tuesday of this week the Gary City Council in an open meeting voted seven yes, two abstentions that I come and give a position in terms of the City Council. As president of the Gary County Council, and I will do that in this statement, and in the form of the city administration I have been authorized to come before you today to make an appeal.

After considering the commitment made by Monarch Casinos & Resorts, Incorporated to have a seaworthy vessel operative in Gary by May 26, 1995, the Council and I ask that you grant them one of the two licenses which the City of Gary is entitled to by law.

As you know, Gary, Indiana, is desperately in need of economic development. We have struggled and somehow survived the

continuous erosion of the economic base over a period of years. Even now that erosion continues. Our K-Mart store is the latest business entity to join the long exodus from Gary to a neighboring county.

Because we are subject to a special law drawn up several years ago we are the only second class city in the state that cannot extend its boundries by annexing unincorporated areas. We find ourselves disrespected by firms that still maintain their headquarters in Gary. Several of them have disassociated themselves with us by dropping the name Gary from their corporate name and adopting more generic names. I cite these as some of the reasons we appeal to you today to act favorably on our wishes for licensing of the two prospective gaming boats.

We feel, therefore, that Monarch is the firm best suited to give us the immediate help that we need. Monarch's targeted opening date is particularly amenable to us and significant in our efforts to meet our pressing needs, and so we ask that you grant them one of the first licenses.

In accord with our city administration

the Council wishes to go on record in support of granting the second license to Barden/President Riverboat Casinos. Please respect our wishes. If feel the economic development of Gary bodes well for the entire northwest Indiana region as well as for the state of Indiana. I thank you for listening to this appeal, and I pray that you will rightly and astutely be directed in your deliberation and your decision making. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, President Pratt. As we all try to teach our children both in terms of learning sports and in terms of making difficult everyday life decisions, it's important to keep your eye on the ball. We think the ball in this setting is the mission that the act imposes upon all of us. The mission is the creation of economic development over a wide geographic area through tourism.

As we were reminded by numerous legislators appearing before this body in August, the driving force behind the passage of the act was the rejuvenation and restoration of hope to Gary. We all know that Gary definitely needs the maximum employment, economic, and revenue

development possible under the act.

Monarch has proven, we believe, beyond any reasonable doubt that it presents the Commission with the best opportunity to accomplish the Commission's mission. Monarch has demonstrated that it will begin operations by Memorial Day 1995. In support of that commitment it is prepared to guarantee this Commission if it's not in operation, if its boat hasn't been delivered by that time, it will pay a \$1 million per month penalty for every month thereafter that it's not delivered except obviously for circumstances entirely beyond their control.

Its operations are not dependent upon a Corps permit for problematic harbor improvements. Its vessel is the largest among all the applicants' vessels. It will provide the following additional incentives to the City of Gary: \$10 million for the renovation of City Hall annex, the greater of three percent of gross gaming revenues or 15 percent of their profits. It has the financing required to perform its obligations, and it has the track record of doing so. It has made appropriate contingency plans for passenger comfort in the harbor no matter

what the process ends up being.

It has committed 7.5 percent of its ownership to the Gary Renaissance Foundation with a present value, we believe, of \$7 million, 7.5 percent of its ownership interest to individual Gary residents, and another 2.5 percent to other Indiana owners for a total of 17.5 percent of its ownership interest staying right here in Indiana of which approximately 86 percent stays right in Gary, Indiana.

Monarch will produce a world class destination resort with world class economic employment development. Its project will stand the test of time despite competitive challenges from Chicago and Native American gaming. This Commission, the people of Indiana, and, perhaps most importantly, the good people of Gary can take Monarch's promises to the bank. We will not let you down. I give you now the co-chairman of Monarch, John Farahi.

MR. JOHN FARAHI: Good morning, Mr.

Chairman and members of the Commission. I would

like to report to you, as we indicated earlier,

that we have completed our Reno expansion on time

and budget. Now all of our company resources are

- going to be focused on Gary. Unlike all other
 applicants we are not in any other state
 operating or competing for new casino licenses.
 Emerald Bay would be our only project, and our
- 5 commitment is to keep our promise here in 6 Indiana.

we will deliver this project as we have envisioned on time and on budget. This grand vision of Emerald Bay as a destination resort will become the pride of northern Indiana and will keep the promise of economic revitalization through tourism.

We would like to thank the City of Gary, and we would like to thank Mr. Jack Thar and his staff, and if we are fortunate to get one of the licenses we are ready to move and get the job done. Thank you.

MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you. We have concluded the presentation by Dunes. We are now scheduled for a 15 minute break. We'll reassemble at 11:30 at which time we'll hear Lakeside Resorts and Trump Hotels & Casinos.

(At this time a short break in the proceedings was had.)

MR. KLINEMAN: We will come back to order. We're ready for the presentation from Lakeside Resorts. According to my faithful watch it's now 11:32 so they'll be recognized for their 30 minutes.

MR. SMITH: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,
Ms. Bochnowski, gentlemen, Mr. Thar and staff.

I'm Don Smith, attorney for Lakeside, and I had
the pleasure to address you in Gary when we made
our presentations. Now, I'm not going to
reintroduce all of the Lakeside team. They're
here today, but time doesn't permit. All the
experts in their particular fields, our
engineers, our harbor people, architects, they're
all here to answer any questions that you have.

And I was reminded by Mr. Hanlon a couple of times that the fact that you gave us 30 minutes doesn't mean that we have to use it, and I sort of took that as a gentle hint. I would presume that your emphasis would be on the question and answer period today. Therefore, I'm going to try not to be redundant about the things I told you in Gary.

There's some things that I'd like to stress and some things that I'd like to bring

out, and I'll be as brief as possible. I'll be followed by Mr. Dave Hanlon, Mr. Phil Kenny, and Mr. Steve Meyers, and I will assure you they'll be as brief as possible too so you can get on with your deliberations.

Now, the last three months and nine days have been like an eternity for all of us -- I'm sure for you folks too -- because we've agonized. We've agonized over the Supreme Court case, the advent of Indian gaming, what's going to happen to the site at Buffington. Although we have agonized we have not despaired, we have not lost our enthusiasm for this project or our desire to get one of these licenses. And I think that we've used this last three months and nine days in a constructive fashion. I'd like to tell you what we've done.

Let's call it three months and nine days of constructive agony that I think that we've had. We've kept our offices open in Gary. As you know, we have conducted the job fair up there. We've had an office up there for many months. We've kept the office open. We have continued to take job applications and continued to do what we thought best for the community of

Gary, and to date we have 1,700 job applications. People are still coming into our offices in Gary to apply for these jobs.

1.0

We have continued to survey the community in order to better address the needs of the people of Gary. I don't see this process and what we intend to do up there as static. It will change from time to time as conditions change so we've tried to keep our hand on the pulse of the people of Gary and their needs.

We have continued our engineering and construction studies. We have talked to the railroad. We have talked to Lehigh Portland. Our marine engineers continue to take a look at the problems up there. I'm not going to go into details because I presume that if you want to know those things you will ask our experts later today. So we didn't stop with the advent of the Supreme Court case. We went right on, and we've had considerable expense as well as the other applicants in so doing.

Now, we've continued our market surveys. For us to ignore the fact that there was federal legislation concerning Indian gaming if they come, and northern Indiana state

prohibits that so we've taken that consideration, and we have, and we are still enthusiastic and desire to have this license so that's part of our market survey.

We have further planned for advertising and media coverage to begin immediately if you give us the license to promote this project and get it before the public and get people to know that we're going to be there and that we will come.

We have procured all of the necessary bids to retrofit our vessel. We have seven bids from seven major shipyards, and it's just a question now of us making a selection and going to contract with those people. We have addressed the land issue in Buffington, and I will come to that in just a minute and tell you what we have done and why we did it.

And we have finalized our choice as to our selection of the person to be our CEO, to have the day-to-day hands-on operation of our facility and gaming operation in Gary. Now, as I said, it was three months and nine days of constructive agony. We've gotten some things done. Let me touch on some of these matters that

were referred to in Mr. Thar's letter. There are some things I'd like to address.

Our David Hanlon has recently been appointed president of International Gaming Technologies. There was a press announcement, and I presume Mr. Thar's told you that. I'll call it IGT. IGT is the largest company of its kind in the world. They manufacture, sell, and finance gaming equipment, slot machines, and they operate what is called the progressive slot system for casinos throughout the world. They do that internationally as well as nationally.

IGT recognizes the role of David Hanlon in the Lakeside project. They not only recognize his role, they approve of his role, and they have corresponded with Mr. Thar and told Mr. Thar essentially what I am telling you, and I would presume you've seen the letter and Mr. Thar's so informed you. David's here this morning to talk to you about these matters and about his involvement and to answer any questions you may have.

I'll tell you what the good news is.

Dave becoming president of IGT we don't think -the old proverb we've lost a daughter, we think

we've gained a son-in-law, although with three daughters and three son-in-laws they all eat too much. But we do feel that Dave's going with IGT and becoming president there and staying on board with us is a plus and an asset for Lakeside because of the relationship that we will have with them.

Now, in this regard David and the Kennys, who, as you know, have a lot of gaming experience and are involved in the Casino Queen and U.S. Gaming, drew up a list of who we would want on board to have the day-to-day operation of this facility. And I can tell you now that we've conducted in-depth interviews, we have narrowed a choice, and we have somebody who is superbly qualified in this industry and whose integrity will speak for itself.

I can't reveal that at this time as to who that person is, and I talked to Jack about this. This individual is presently a CEO of a major casino and hotel operation. It would not be fair to float his name in the event we did not get the support. But if we are granted the award you will be made that fact known to you in a timely fashion.

So let me speak about the Buffington site for just a minute if I may. It's there. The problem's there, and it hasn't gone away, and it has to be addressed. Dave Hanlon and I have both been in touch with Portland Lehigh's headquarters in Allentown, Pennsylvania. I happen to represent a major corporation in the dock industry, and we've done business with Portland Lehigh for years. We know the people.

And Portland Lehigh stated their position, which I think makes a lot of sense, as follows: Prior to the Supreme Court decision they said Mr. Smith, this may be moot so we don't want to address any of these issues until after the Supreme Court's ruled if we're going to have gaming or not. Number two, how can we negotiate on this land until the two applicants are given the go ahead, until we know who your applicants are, who are going to get the licenses.

And they sat down with us and showed us what they proposed to build and where they propose to build it. We didn't know what, if any, interference that creates with our operation, and, as you know, they're going to retain part of their operation up there. That is

when we can have meaningful negotiations.

Now, I don't want the City of Gary to misinterpret the reason that David and I made those calls. We're not intermingling in their business. We're not being officious and trying to circumvent the city. We're not doing that at all. But we did want to know their position.

I feel, as one of the prior speakers said he felt, that once you have made the two awards and the two nominees that you award these licenses to sit down with the city here and get back with Portland Lehigh I think the thing will move much faster. It's not a simple thing, but we do think it will move a lot quicker once that has been done.

I have spoken to the attorneys for two of the applicants, and we've agreed that this is a must, once you have made your final two selections that the two selectees would sit down and start this process immediately. But, now, by keeping our offices open in Gary we have incurred considerable expense, but we think that the incursion of that expense has been worthwhile. It's been worth every penny of it.

We believe that Lakeside's acceptance in

Gary is very good, and I don't want to start comparing this to the people, but I think we have total acceptance of Lakeside in Gary because we've had community outreach. We've talked to people in the grass roots due in large part to the good work of Mr. Will Smith, Mr. Lloyd McClendon and his youth program.

And, by the way, you saw the video of Lloyd during the Gary presentation. He could not be there at that time, but the baseball strike still continues, and he's here this morning. Lloyd, would you stand up, please (Indicating). Thank you. We're very proud of what Lloyd is doing with the youth programs in Gary, spending his money and the commitment that we've made to Lloyd and this program.

Now, I heard the theory expressed one time way back, do people stay in this thing just to protect what they've already spent. Don't make that mistake about us. We're not here to protect an expense. We are here with a positive desire to have this license, and we are here out of an enthusiasm for this project. You know, let's face it, we all know what's being said, that we're the conservative group. Well, perhaps

we are.

Do you want to deal with illusions, or do you want to deal with realities? We'll talk about the numbers, the revenue, what have you. Is it the numbers that are floated to you, or is it performance, performance, performance, performance, performance, to you with are they going to perform, and if they perform properly and adequately and they've done their homework will this thing be a winner?

You're about to take on a partner.

You're about to take on two partners, and I would have to say that you have to have some cogent reason to choose Lakeside. Why, all four of these applicants here this morning have gaming experience, and they have attractive proposals. What I have to tell you is by no means to diminish what they have to say for their proposals. But I do think that we are most qualified, and I will tell you why I think we're most qualified.

And this statement now, it's careful how I construct it because I think this touches the heart of the issue. We are the best and most qualified for this license for this area at this

time. And I'm going to repeat that. I don't mean to do an injustice to modesty. I believe that to be true. We are the best qualified for this license in this area at this time.

factor as well as the county factor, primarily because of our two principal capital partners,

U.S. Cable. And, of course, you've looked at all the documents. You've heard them speak. You know who they are. You know what their financial resources are. They've been in northwest Indiana and the Lake County/Gary area for 15 years. They have millions of dollars invested in that area.

Right now they have 130 employees up there.

We have an established reputation in northwest Indiana. Steve Meyers is not going to permit us to get involved in any operation that will diminish the reputation of U.S. Cable as to either integrity, ethics, or sound business judgment. We are there. That's what I mean about this area at this time. Senior management of U.S. Cable, two out of the three top executives are Hoosiers, grew up here, went to school here, so we think that that relates to the area.

And then how about Kenny Construction, our other capital partner, principal offices in Chicago. Now, you talk about a hands-on situation. They're not from ten million miles away. Kenny Construction has done millions of dollars in construction projects in Indiana and especially northwest Indiana. They built the Sky Way. They have gaming experience.

And then as to the Buffington site Kenny Construction held out for that Buffington site at the outset of this process when everybody else was yelling USX. Kenny Construction has done construction projects on Lake Michigan. They know what it's all about, and they know that Buffington Harbor site inside and out. They have done their homework quite some time ago.

Now, in terms of area, which was a part of the statement I made, U.S. Cable and the affiliates that they have that Steve Meyers will tell you about it in a minute, gives us immediate coverage. The Kenny sports radio station Chicago gives us immediate media coverage for that area to promote this project, and if we promote it for us we're going to promote it for the other successful applicant.

You know, whoever the two people are that get this site, they have to work together in all respects, and what one of us does good is obviously going to wear off on to the other, and we don't mind that. The whole project has to be a success. You can't have one failure up there and one success. It won't work that way.

Now, I said best for this area at this time. Let's talk about time. Time has always been of the essence for this project, hasn't it, because this legislation, as I understand it, was enacted in order to create economic benefit and do something for these deprived communities. We now have had another delay, and I know you've agonized over it as we have. There's been three months elapsed since Gary, and nobody's had the opportunity to do anything about getting the boat in the water so time is even more critical.

Now, how can we help you produce time, and how can we help you produce revenue? We're the only applicant with a major construction company who has done hundreds of millions of dollars worth of construction, and that construction company is a capital partner. We don't have to go to the marketplace to hire a

contractor, to negotiate the contract. Kenny Construction is ready to go. They know the site. They have the resources to do this.

They know people in northwest Indiana on a first name basis, subcontractors, material men, and we discussed this yesterday. What if Kenny had to go to New York or Los Angeles or someplace to do a project? There would be a lot of homework you'd have to do before you start talking to subcontractors, material men. You'd want to know who you're dealing with.

I'm telling you this: In terms of time we are ready to go. We are ready to get construction under way. Other factors as to time, not only do we know the site and know what has to be done, we are prepared to work with any other applicant that comes in, give them the benefit of our knowledge and our studies.

Now, another thing about the time factor, Kenny Construction did the East St. Louis project, the Casino Queen. Many of the problems that are going to be encountered on this site in Buffington they've already encountered in St. Louis. They've got a leg up on the experience factor so I think that comes back to time. When

will we get this done? We have that unique advantage. I don't think it's our only advantage, but it's a big one.

Now, we are ready, as I said, to sit down and work with another applicant, but, you know, let's say we work with the city and another applicant when the licenses are awarded to get this done. A major decision has to be made. It's not a case where a contractor is calling the owner or the capital partner and saying What do you think we ought to do.

Kenny Construction is a capital partner, second largest capital partner in this venture. They can make those decisions on the spot. They have the capability to do that, and they have the authority to do that, and that is a time factor. So I submit to you one more time, I'll tell you the statement, we are the best and the most qualified for this license in this area at this time.

Now, as to time, when will we have a boat in the water, and when will it be operational? The things that we discuss among ourselves in trying to talk about time, critical path of construction, operational factors, and

the resolutions that we come up in determining the use for our own plan and finances are the same things that we tell you. We don't tell you dreamland stories knowing that something else happens to be the fact. I guess that's why we got the label as being most conservative, but we'd like to surprise you and us both in terms of what we tell you.

2 1

Now, I've got all these press releases, people are going to have their boat in the water on this date, this date, and this date. People are going to give you a million dollars a month penalty if they don't. Let me tell you about penalties. We get involved in this process we're going to spend a lot of money, and we're prepared to go forward and start this from day one after you award the license. If we lose millions of dollars a month in revenue because we're not in the water in operation that is the worst penalty in the world that can be laid on us, and it will be self-imposed if we're not diligent.

So we're not going to offer you a million dollars a month if we're not in the water by a particular date, but we know internally what that penalty will be, and we're the ones that

- have to pay it. And if we're not in the water and we don't produce revenue the penalty we're going to pay for not producing revenue is not recoverable by us. We will never get it back.
- 5 | So, yes, we'll be diligent.

Now, you're up there thinking He's hedged all around when will they get their boat in the water. I'll give you my best guesstimate. We think, all things being equal, we can be in there up and ready and running middle August, maybe Labor Day. We won't have a boat there in May. I'm sorry if you turn us down on that factor, but you better study that because we have. We can be operational then all things being equal. Now, if being truthful with you hurts us, well, we'll just have to play that way.

Now, if you recall we told you about the project called Sportopia. Mr. Brian Hall is here with us this morning, and he's not going to make a presentation. Time won't permit it, but he'll be here for the question and answer period after that. I'm happy to report, if you'll recall, his first project was in Branson, Missouri. His financing is in place. That's been

accomplished. I don't know, I think Mr. Hensley questioned the state of that financing. It's in place. He has correspondence to that effect, and he will be happy to tell you about that this morning.

Let me tell you something that pleases me about Sportopia. We project that that situation will bring about a million visitors a year. We haven't cranked any of the revenue for that thing in terms of who of those million visitors on that site will gamble, but there will be a tremendous revenue factor there.

And I want to tell you the thing that makes me most happy, and we've discussed this recently. We have pinned it down. It is a fact. Sportopia will employ over 400 people, and we can employ 16 year old kids to work on that project. Now, what's that going to do for Gary and the youth of Gary in conjunction with what Lloyd McClendon's going to do, and what does it do for them in the summertime?

We can put a lot of kids in gainful employment and a healthy environment, not around the gaming operation. And there aren't really many areas, as I understand from Will Smith and

the other people I've talked to, to do something for the youth as well as the older people. So I have to tell you we'll have good work conditions, and we expect teenagers in there and to keep them gainfully employed.

I would like to make one other point.

We are privately owned. Kenny Construction, their group is a private company. So is U.S. Cable. We are not subject to market fluctuations. We are not subject to outside market influences, and I can tell you this: I know what resources they have. If they did not feel that they had the resources financially to do this project they wouldn't get involved. They're sound businessmen. That's how they became successful.

One other point I'd like to make is that in terms of gaming expertise I don't want to draw comparisons between what's it like to operate a boat as opposed to a land base, not necessary, but I'll say this: In terms of expertise, now, the Casino Queen, which is a major asset of our capital partner, Kenny Construction, is one of the most lucrative, efficient, and successful operations in the gaming boat business. So now

the Kennys bring that expertise to you, and we told you that last time. I don't want to keep telling you the same thing.

I want to remind you of one more thing, and it's something that came to mind when I heard Don Barden speak. Most of the principals in this Lakeside process have been involved in either trying to get gaming in Gary or do something about gaming in Gary since December the 10th of 1988. Tomorrow that will be six years for that experience in Gary, Bob Sulliard, the Kennys, Dave Hanlon.

Now, do we desire that license? I think six years in an effort to get this done shows that we have staying power, and I'll tell you what that means too. Good, bad, success, rough times, you will have these Indiana partners see this thing through, and we'll be there at the end of the day like I told you the last time because we're here to stay.

Now, Don said that he was one of 13 children. Don, I'm the youngest of ten. Your old man had more staying power than mine, and the thing about being the youngest of ten they were all bigger than me, and I turned out to be the

ugliest one of them. I have an older brother that has a farm down in Rushville, and he wasn't necessarily pro-gaming. And he's been watching my conduct for six years, and he said I'm going to tell you something, boy, if you get one of those licenses up in Gary you better run a good, clean operation. And I promised him we would, and I make that same promise to you, all right. Thank you.

MR. KLINEMAN: David, we're running out of time.

MR. HANLON: Yes, I know. I was going to say in the interest of brevity Mr. Smith gave me entire speech. I will say that I was the one who was here in December of '88 and have a long-term involvment in that, and I couldn't tell you that anyone is more pleased than I that the process is coming to an end, and we're excited that Gary will finally be moving forward.

My new company, IGT, knew of my long-term involvement, committment, obligation here, and, as Don said, they fully support my completion of the obligation to the extent that I will remain involved, obviously not on a day-to-day basis, but I have worked with my

partners to identify someone who I will fully vouch for in terms of technical and managerial, competence, integrity, and commitment to the community. I'll also continue to be involved as a member of the board of the directors in a more active way. So I'm excited where the process is, and we're ready to go forward. Thank you.

MR. KENNY: Thank you. My quick comments will be as such: You've heard the history of the Kennys. You've heard of our involvement in the gaming business and in the construction business, but I will leave you with this thought process. When you consider the licenses today think about the integrity. We have been a family in business 65 years. We have built our reputation on building relationships.

We've also built a reputation based on performance, and anything that we stand here and tell you that we said today we will build and put up a high quality product that not only we will be proud of but the city of Gary and the state of Indiana and the Gaming Commission.

As far as relationships, I can't think of a situation as we've gone throughout the country and built relationships, whether it be

with the Indiana Gaming Commission, whether it be with the state of Indiana, the Indiana DOT, the City of Gary, whoever we've been asked to work with we have a good relationship.

You can look strictly under gaming experience with the Illinois Gaming Board, and I know you've done that, so I know what the answer was when it came back. And I appreciate your time, and I wish you all the best of luck. You have a difficult decision, and may God bless you on that. Steven.

MR. MEYERS: Well, that's the end of my speech with Don carrying on like that. But briefly I'm chairman of U.S. Cable, majority shareholder in U.S. Gaming. The decision you have before you today is making a long-term partner in the decision of two applicants. U.S. Cable is partnered with over 150 communities, cities and communities, the majority of which are in the greater Chicago, northwest Indiana, Gary area.

These are 15 year commitments that these communities elected to make with our company.

Two-thirds of those commitments have expired and been renewed very successfully. There's never

been any litigation to get any of those renewed, and, as I said, they've been successfully renewed. The third that have not been renewed are not due for renewal. That's what we believe demonstrates a good partnership, the fact that we can operate for 15 years and be successfully renewed without exception.

We have a major presence here in northwest Indiana particularly with the distinct advantage of being part of TCI, who is the largest cable company in the country. Between U.S. Cable and TCI we service the majority of the subscribers in the greater Chicago area. The subscribers we don't service, we know those people real well. We know what's involved with interconnection and marketing strategies and how to get the message out as it relates to promoting this gaming operation. We think this gives us a distinct advantage in that regard.

Just briefly on financial projections, we've been accused in some respects of being a bit conservative. We believe financial projections of performance should represent minimum numbers and at a minimum should be achieved or hopefully bettered. Your lenders

rely on these, they depend on these, you negotiate your covenants off of these.

As we all know Murphy's law, in many of these major situations you're going to have changes in circumstances. You're going to have variances in what you projected. That's why they're called projections. And you better be cautious in the initial set of numbers that you base your lending criteria on.

So it's for that reason -- and we're prepared. We have a boat that based upon the revenue numbers that we're giving you is at about 50 percent capacity. If the other 50 percent comes God bless. We're there to service and double the revenues to the extent that the people show up.

In closing, we respectfully submit that Lakeside's imminently qualified for one of the licenses. The basis for this decision can only be based on our track record, where we've been, and you've researched that thoroughly, and that should give you some confidence where you're going to select us. Thank you.

MR. SMITH: Do I have any more time?

MR. KLINEMAN: It's pretty well expired

1 now.

MR. SMITH: I might get fired for taking more than the allocated time. All I want to say is I thank you for the way you've treated us, and we do believe that you're going to be fair about this, and this thing will be resolved. We appreciate your attention.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you. I guess we're ready for Trump Hotels & Casino Resorts, Inc. Do you need any time to set anything up, or are you all ready to go?

MR. TABBERT: We are going to set something up, but in the interest of time we can do both. Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, Jack Thar, like many others this organization has gained much admiration and respect for all that you have been doing, and we mean that quite sincerely. We know a lot has been said already. But what we have seen from the staff and from the members of the Commission has been unparalleled discipline, dedication, and devotion to your duties, and it goes far beyond what I think anybody contemplated when this Commission was established.

Today is your first major time for a

decision, and it's extremely important. It's far more important, ladies and gentlemen, than what we all say here. It's what it means for the citizens of the city of Gary, those who are not here but those who are depending right now on what you do here. They have waited, they have worked, they have waited some more. Years have gone by. Their patience over the years has become one of frustration and then back to patience again.

A source has been provided by the legislature to help those people in Gary. They tried to help themselves, but it's quite clear from what's been said here that they have reached out to say Come to our assistance, Give us help. And that imposes upon all of us and this process and all of you an important, very, very important, decision to be discharged today.

You're going to be making decisions all over the state of Indiana in the next two years as to how to benefit the people of various locations. But today the decision is not just to benefit the people of Gary. It is to correct an urgent situation that continues to be presented day after day, article after article, and the

opportunity is there.

The Trump organization can meet that need. It can meet it right now without any question. It's based upon what they have already done, what can be proven and established without any question. No promises need to be made with regard to the benefits to the City of Gary when it comes to the Trump organization. The record that has been established at the altitude that the Trump organization has functioned discharges any doubt that Trump can meet the need, and this Commission can rely upon that.

I said to you in Gary, and I don't mind repeating it, that, in fact, whatever challenges may come up the Trump organization can beat it, whether it comes from Chicago or whether it comes from Michigan, and that is an important factor. If you think ahead two or three years or four years and you say to yourselves Will we be able to look with satisfaction upon what is happening in Gary, the Trump organization will give you the fortitude to be able to say they will get the job done. They're a proven developer.

There need be no promises made. All the of the facts have been presented to you. What is

directly needed in Gary is the history of a developer that's A-1, and Trump has that, no apologies, no embellishments. It's been done.

Number two, we're looking toward an organization that can develop large, significant casinos, not the possibility of casinos, but large casinos that are profitable and can be established, and Trump has done that. We're looking for someone, I submit to you based on the most recent developments, who is a fierce competitor, an organization that will be able to handle any situation that comes from Chicago or comes from Michigan.

There is a commitment that has to be made. When you put all the rhetoric aside, all the promises aside, the real question is whether or not this organization can withstand whatever might come to challenge the economic productivity in the Gary area. It's that simple, and that man and that organization can do it, and no apologies need be offered.

Fourthly, an organization who has the vision and the intelligence to work with a fellow developer to put together an outstanding finished product. There is no question that the way it is

set up there must be two working together as one. They've done it before. They can do it again. I think what has come forth in the last several months that identifies and separates this project is that Gary is unique. You must be able to work together. You must be able to achieve results, and that's why I feel extremely proud to be able to represent Donald Trump because of what has happened in the past and what he can do and accomplish.

When Gary says to all of you and to all of us Will this be the time that we will come forward? Will this be the time that there will be a selection for which we will not, in fact, be disappointed or crushed? The answer as to one of these two licensees is the Trump organization. Trump will succeed. You can write it down. It will not be impeached. Trump will get it done. There will be no contradiction of that. Donald Trump and his organization will not let the people of Gary down. Mr. Chairman, members, we will not let everyone of you down either.

I would like to introduce, or reintroduce as the case may be, the people that have participated in the Trump project. I will

ask each of them to stand. There will just be three of us, in fact, that will be making a specific submission during this session. First of all, our chairman, Donald J. Trump. Will you stand up? The chief executive officer of Trump Hotels & Casino Resorts, Nick Ribis. The executive vice president of operations, Trump's Castle Casino Resort and the individual who has worked in the Gary area who has spearheaded the Trump effort in Gary these past several months and years, Pat Dennehy.

The executive director of hotel operation, Trump's Castle Casino Resort, Joe Polisano. You remember Joe participating up in Gary also. The project development, Trump's Castle Casino Resort, Ken Ciancimino. He's been instrumental in our efforts. Tom O'Connor, partner in Sykes, O'Connor, Salerno & Hazevah. Tom put the drawing up, and Tom has been instrumental. My partner, Greg Hahn, and my associate Julie Pottenger. Willie Harris, local counsel in Gary, Indiana.

I'm happy to turn over the podium at this time to the man who has really spearheaded this effort for the Trump organization in Gary,

Mr. Pat Dennehy. Pat.

MR. DENNEHY: Thank you, Don. Good afternoon. I am pleased to have the opportunity to review again for you the Trump organization's proposal for the development of a first class gaming and entertainment facility for Gary, Indiana. We are anxious to participate in the redevelopment of Gary and to deliver employment and business opportunities to its residents. We envision for Gary and Indiana an unmatched destination resort drawing customers nationwide. Gary will become a must-see attraction when the Trump Marina Resort opens.

I'd like to take a few moments to review the most salient points of our presentation. We have created our development plan for the Buffington Harbor site as requested by the City of Gary. When selected as one of the licensees we will meet with city officials in order to participate in the acquisition process for the site and to create an agreement that is mutually beneficial to Trump, the city, and the other selected developer.

Our review of the other projects presented by our competitors has revealed many

interesting concepts. If selected for a license we are anxious to meet with the city and the other developer to jointly design the ultimate gaming destination, one that incorporates the most valuable points of each project and one that will survive competitive pressures from emerging jurisdictions.

Our proposed development at Buffington will be in two stages. The temporary operation will consist of site entry and circulation improvements, parking for 2,500 automobiles plus parking and shuttle service, a 50,000 square foot full service pavilion containing a ticketing and reservation counter, an entertainment lounge, a full service food operation, and casino support operations.

Our temporary boat is the Glow Mark

Conception which is 400 feet by 65 feet, and it

will contain 34,000 square feet of gaming space.

Within this gaming space we'll include 1,100 slot

machines and approximately 74 table games.

The permanent operation will consist of the following elements: Current access improvements including reconfiguration of the Cline Avenue off-ramp, construction of a new road

from Cline Avenue to the new development, and relocation of the Cline Avenue on ramp to an area north of the site.

Numerous improvements will be required at the site including road widening, a new railroad underpass, and overall site improvements and landscaping, a permanent self-parking facility for 3,000 automobiles with related shuttle service and valet service along with a motor coach transportation center.

The permanent dockside facility will be the hub of the hotel, parking facility, restaurant, and riverboat point of entry. It will be capable of ticketing and entertaining 4,500 people, and a glass-enclosed walkway will take patrons from the main floor cuing area to the boat.

The plan, as envisioned, calls for the construction of a sea wall. The riverboat will cruise behind the sea wall in order to provide maximum protection for our patrons and the boat. We anticipate conducting limited gaming excursions in conforming with Indiana's gaming statutes.

Trump's proposed hotel is currently

2.3

designed as a 300 room facility but has a potential to expand by another 300 rooms. Certain levels will offer suite type accommodations as well as standard guest rooms. The facility will house three restaurants, a specialty room, a coffee shop, and a buffet. There will also be approximately 25,000 square feet of meeting space that can be broken into a variety of configurations or be utilized as a ballroom capable of accommodating concerts, boxing, headlining entertainers, or theater type

events.

The permanent boat will be the Trump
Princess, 340 feet by 76 feet. It will contain
46,000 square feet of gaming space and will have
1,500 slot machines and approximately 100 table
games. The anticipated construction time line
for the temporary facility is six months. This
expedited time frame is designed to adhere to
both the city's and Trump's desire to be open as
quickly as possible while delivering a quality
gaming venue.

This opening, however, is contingent on the resolution of the Buffington Harbor ownership issue, the remediation of potential site issues,

and ultimately, for those of us who remember the first two months of this year, cooperation from the weather. Accordingly, we will remain fully flexible in our planning and construction.

An important benefit of the Trump Marina Resort is derived from the financial consideration which will be paid to the city and state through tax revenue and incentive plans.

I'd like to outline those for you now. The state share provided by a 15 percent gaming tax and \$2 admission tax amounts to 21.8 million in year one and rises to 33.4 million in year five.

We are prepared to launch a comprehensive effort towards the redevelopment of Gary. The initial phase of this effort will be the construction of six police substations at various locations throughout the city. Whether it be through new construction or restoration of existing buildings Trump is prepared to commit \$3 million to this project.

In addition to the six police substations, Trump is prepared to commit additional funds to the City of Gary in an amount equal to one percent of the gross gaming receipts. These funds can be used at the city's

discretion in any endeavor which will assist the city in providing for the safety and security of its citizens.

additional incentive payment of the adjusted gross gaming receipts over and above what the Indiana gaming statute requires. The sum is estimated to be approximately 2.8 million in year one rising to 5.1 million in year five. That makes the total contribution to the City of Gary 11.9 million in year one and will rise steadily to 19 million in year five. A summary of these contributions has been provided for you.

We estimate 1,185 jobs in year one with total salaries and wages paid of about 27.8 million. In year five that number grows to 1,679 jobs, and salary and wages should exceed 45 million at that point.

I'd like to review the investment that we've prepared for this project. The total Trump capital investment at Buffington Harbor is projected to be \$153 million dollars. This investment is broken down as follows: Preopening costs which include salaries, advertising, 14.4 million; start-up costs, which would be the

construction work including the site work, the temporary facilities, engineering and design fees, 117.6 million; the municipal investment costs, which would be the infrastructure improvements and the police substations, \$21 million.

I'd like to take a minute to talk about Chicago. The prospect of casino gaming in the city of Chicago is very real and grows daily as we hear more and more about potential legislative changes to the Illinois gaming laws. The Trump organization faces tough competition everyday in Atlantic City where nine other major operators operate within a few square miles. We meet this competition head on and have achieved unmatched success in this difficult environment.

The Chicago gaming market is one that we are ready to face head on and win through the development of a first class facility and the execution of our marketing programs. Through these marketing programs we will retain our customer base despite intense marketing efforts from adjoining states. With Trump Gary will have a casino operator that will maximize every opportunity, bring name recognition second to

none, and ultimately provide long-term success in financial benefits to the people of Gary and Indiana.

I'd like to take a moment to stress the Trump organization and Mr. Trump's commitment to work with other developers. The background of Nick Ribis, CEO of Trump Hotels & Casino Resorts, exemplifies this organization's ability to work with other casino operators. During 1993 Mr. Ribis was chosen for two very important posts. First, he was selected chairman of the New Jersey Casino Association, an organization of 12 New Jersey casinos.

Secondly, Mr. Ribis accepted an appointment from the then New Jersey governor to represent the casino industry on the Casino Reinvestment and Development Board. This is a statewide board which administers the investments of hundreds of millions of dollars of casino redevelopment funds throughout the state of New Jersey.

These last two positions mentioned entail a full working relationship between Mr. Trump, Mr. Ribis, the Trump organization, and the other casino operators in the city. In fact, his

selection as chairman of the Casino Association was by representatives of the other casinos as the one executive to lead them as a cohesive organization. There's no better endorsement than that of a selection by your peers.

Currently the Trump organization is working with Harrah's Casino on a \$5 million joint effort to develop and beautify the entire marina area where they are both located. This extensive program includes roadway improvements, landscaping, and lighting. It necessitates full cooperation and is moving along smoothly. I think you will agree that it's quite clear that the Trump organization has and will continue to work effectively with other developers.

Now I'd like to bring back Don Tabbert who will address a few more issues specific to the Trump organization. Thank you.

MR. TABBERT: We sat back and we looked at the Trump presentation to see what someone else might think that in any way might present a problem. We didn't see any from the standpoint of economic stability, but there were three things, and Pat just talked about one of the three. Can Trump, in fact, work with the other

developer? Probably because of his aggressiveness and what he's accomplished that question has risen out here someplace.

There is no doubt about it. These people are A-1, top notch, solid people. They know exactly what to do, and the point we want to emphasize, the philosophy of Gary has permeated the Trump organization. It has come to recognize that Gary is different. It must be successful or you just can't look yourself in the mirror. And so being able to work with the other developer, you've heard other comments about developers saying they can work with us, we can work with any developer and we will.

A second thing is this: We have heard a few comments, Can the Trump organization be regulated? Of course it can be regulated, and of course the Trump organization can work within that regulation. The environment is so similar to Atlantic City, and there is no question about that. They operate three major hotels in that area that cover a span of ten years. It is big business. I mean, there are hundreds and sometimes thousands of decisions that are made in any one year.

And if you have checked, and I'm sure you have, it's common knowledge within the gaming industry that that is the most regulated jurisdiction in the United States of America, not Las Vegas but Atlantic City. And it's loaded with integrity. The Atlantic City area is solid on the issue of integrity. They don't just roll over. And Trump has had to work within that type of regulation, and they've done it spectacularly.

Remember that, and I think you know this, but it was first issued a license by the New Jersey Casino Control Commission to operate the Trump Plaza in 1984. Ten years ago that began. The second license was granted in 1985 for Trump's Castle. The latest license was granted for the Trump Taj Mahal in 1990. That's three major developments with hundreds and thousands of opportunities or examples of being able not to work or to work, and they have been absolutely outstanding.

His licenses have always been renewed.

There has been no problem whatsoever, and you can talk to any industry insider. To successfully operate even one property in New Jersey takes

enormous sophistication, knowledge, and regulatory expertise. Those are not just words. Those are established proven facts. And it's true in the area of regulatory compliance where you make one benign mistake and you can have devastating consequences.

These people right here have not made them. They have not been successful. The record speaks for itself. You don't have to make promises. For ten years they've been able to work continually with the full cooperation with state, federal, and local agencies and regulatory bodies, and that's important for you to be able to say Is there going to be a problem, and the answer is there will not be. And now in Indiana you can say to yourself Will there be a problem, no.

And let's go to the third and last point, litigation. You've heard a few comments. In Gary we talked about it briefly as to whether or not Don Trump has a proclivity with regard to using the courts extensively. I've looked at that as a lawyer for 35 years and as a former United States District Attorney, and I don't find that support whatsoever.

The sheer size and scope of the Trump organization means that hundreds, if not thousands, of business relationships are in place. They're going on right today. Right now they're operating. And to say that he has used the courts more than he should is just not correct. During the normal course of business you're going to have problems, and some are going to end in litigation. But any lawyer knows that, in fact, when you look at it you're not going to find that there was any extensive use whatsoever of the court system.

There was discussion up in Gary about the Grand Hyatt Hotel partnership. That's been discussed and been discussed quite specifically, and you heard Don Trump talk to you about it himself. There is no effort on his part to become involved in litigation. There's no need for it, and I absolutely discount it and dispel it. He is not going to and has never utilized the court system for any other purpose other than what is legitimate.

Now it's my privilege and pleasure to reintroduce to you for his final thoughts and comments the man that gets this done and will get

1 | it done for Gary, Mr. Donald J. Trump. Donald.

MR. TRUMP: Thank you very much, Mr.

Chairman and members. I greatly appreciate being here. I just wanted to reiterate a few things.

I guess as most people have been reading, this has really been the best year of my business life. 1994 has been I would say my best year. A couple of years ago it was a little tricky, but being in the real estate business I think it was not an easy business in 1990 and '91, and we came out of it, and I think we're stronger now than we ever have been.

As of the last reporting period we had in excess of \$135 million in cash. Bankers Trust is involved in this transaction and is going to be giving us a serious commitment for the financing.

The Taj Mahal -- and I thought I should tell you this -- in Atlantic City, the Trump Taj Mahal, is number one in every category, slots, tables, gross operating profits. It has \$125 million dollars, it should be about 125 to 128 million dollars, in gross operating profits this year at just one hotel. That's the largest in Atlantic City by far. It's one of the largest in

the world, one of the top four in the world I'm told.

Trump Castle is the number one percentage increase in all of Atlantic City. Business has increased in Atlantic City, and certainly Trump Castle business has increased far, far more. It's number one in Atlantic City in percentage increase which I'm very proud of. The gross operating profit at Trump Castle, which is a much smaller hotel, will be \$52 million this year. That's up from \$18 million three years ago. Number one.

The Trump Plaza will have a gross operating profit of \$62 million, and frankly next year we expect that to go substantially higher. We're under construction this year, and when people talk about building on time and on schedule we're on time and on schedule.

But I don't think that anybody in this room, and maybe anybody in the country, has built more quality things than we have. We've built many apartment houses in New York, super luxury, low income, moderate income, all types, built many buildings. And Trump Tower on 5th Avenue and 57th Street next to Tiffany is considered a

landmark even before the 20 year designation period, and I'm very proud of it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the things that I'm also very proud of, a company that I have tremendous respect for is General Electric. It's probably one of the most progressive, well managed companies in the United States. They just chose us to develop their most important building and probably one of the most important buildings in New York and certainly I would say the most important site in New York right on Central Park at the corners of Central Park West and Central Park South which was formerly known as the Gulf & Western Building which is being demolished. now occupied by Paramount Pictures. They're moving out in six months, and we're going to be taking that down and building a 55 story super luxury condominium.

What I'm proud of is that General

Electric is such a great company, is such a smart

company. Eighteen developers were after it.

Everybody wanted to be their joint venture

partner, and they chose Trump. The reason they

chose us, it's not because I'm a nice guy, a bad

guy, a good guy, any guy, it's because we get in

condominiums, which is a little different than what we're talking about, but basically it's the same thing, it's called bringing business, taking business from Chicago. We get in condominiums \$125 a square foot more than anybody else.

This was General Electric doing these studies, working very hard. They hired four or five consultants and brokers in New York. Not only do we get \$125 a foot more, which in a building the size we're talking about is almost a hundred million dollars more than any other developer, we also sell out in about half the time. So when you add those two numbers together General Electric hopefully made the right choice.

most proud of, I have a great respect for a thing called the Mobile Travel Guide. If you're in business, in the hotel business, the Mobile Travel Guide more or less is the Bible. I believe there are six four-star casino hotels in the United States. Of the six we have three. In Atlantic City there are none others that for three years consecutively have gotten a four-star rating, and a four-star rating is the highest

point that the casinos can go. So of the six we have three, and in Atlantic City we have three out of three, and I'm very proud of that.

1

And I think that sort of will tell you what we're striving for here. We're looking to do a super job. We're looking to do a job that is going to shield Gary from the possible onslaught of Chicago and various other territories. I think that when completed we will all sit back, stand back, look at what we did, and we'll all be very proud. I hope so. Thank you very much.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you. According to our schedule we are to move on now to the City of Gary's presentation. Then we will be taking a break for lunch and coming back and propounding questions to any of the applicants who we wish to.

SENATOR ROGERS: Thank you. Good afternoon to you and to the members of the Commission and to our born again developers. Watch myself over that. The Mayor told me to watch myself. Many persons have appeared before you. They have all spoken to Gary's needs, and we are glad that the developers are mindful of

Gary's needs.

Much of the language in the riverboat legislation really is kind of boilerplate language that you would find in any state that decided to pass a riverboat gaming or casino gaming legislation. However, in our legislation here in Indiana Gary has left its fingerprints, if you will, on this legislation, and I'd like to kind of follow through and talk to you about those fingerprints.

one fingerprint is the assurance that economic development would occur in the city, and we very specifically said in the city so that everyone would know that we expect, of course, for these sites where the river boats will be located to be attractive to persons coming in. We also expect the developers to do something as relates to the city itself, and, in fact, the Steering Committee sent each one of the developers a list of the ongoing economic development projects in the city and asked them to take those projects into consideration when they came forward with proposals for the city.

Another fingerprint was the need for a hotel, and some explanation as to why the

language was written that way, we said a hotel or economic development that would exceed the cost of the hotel. That was put there because we realized that we had two developers, and we didn't want the legislation to appear that we wanted two hotels, but we did see the need for one.

I think maybe some people have failed or neglected to take a look at, and that's that part of the legislation that links the need for a hotel to some other needs in our city. The hotel/motel tax from any hotel that's constructed or any hotel that's reconstructed, those taxes are to be given to the city. Seventy-five percent of that revenue is for public safety, and twenty-five percent of that revenue it for fiscal and economic development in the City of Gary. So through the taxes at the hotel that we need in our city we fully intended to take care of some other needs that the city has.

Another footprint that's there is the requirement that the developers adopt policies concerning the preferential hiring of residents of the city. Many times as we went through this

process the Atlantic City experience thwarted our efforts to move forward as hastily as we would have wanted, and much of the concern had to do with the people themselves of the city not working in the casinos, and that is why this was put into the legislation, not only for Gary, but it was Gary's effort that made certain that it was there so that we would make certain that the residents of the city would be hired.

Casino developers were also asked in the legislation to consider the good faith affirmative action plans to recruit, to train, and to upgrade minorities in all employment classifications. That is, of course, extremely important, and I would hope that any consideration of any of our proposed licensees would have such action plan in place.

Those are the footprints that Gary left on the legislation. As we have moved through this process I'm reminded, and we've talked a lot about respect up here today, and I guess I'm reminded of Aretha Franklin's R-e-s-p-e-c-t. We need respect in Gary, respect for those persons who have been at the forefront of this almost movement in terms of how what we decided to do

back in 1988 has had an impact on the rest of the state. We certainly need respect of those persons who wish to be corporate citizens and develop that kind of a partnership that would be needed to move the city forward.

Hopefully you will take under consideration what the City of Gary has said are its needs, what the state legislature has said are its need, and pick those developers that will best fill the needs of the City of Gary and, of course, for the state of Indiana. Although I must tell you that initially and in moving through this the state of Indiana was not on the cutting edge. The state of Indiana was on the trailing edge of this knife, and while we appreciate the state of Indiana coming forward we certainly would like to begin. You find that funny.

While we appreciate the state of Indiana for getting the vision that Gary initially had in coming on board we certainly hope that they will take into consideration the pains and the arrows of the outrageous foes in terms of what we've gone through in Gary. Many thanks to you for -- I don't even have to talk about Gary coming

first. The Commission has seen to that.

I don't think I have to mention the fact that the legislature gave us the advantage of the two boats. I don't have to mention that. I just need to say to you we need those two boats today, but other than that I must congratulate the Commission and the manner in which you've conducted yourself. We certainly appreciate the speedy manner in which you've moved, and we look forward to working with the decision that we know will be rendered in the best interest of our city. Thank you.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you, Senator Rogers.

MR. KING: Good afternoon, Mr. President and other members of the Commission and the staff. By way of introduction my name is Gilbert King, and I'm an attorney, and I'm a general practitioner although in the last couple years I've become sort of an expert in riverboat gaming. I have to say that I made a comment similar to this at the last session, and I have to tell you that nothing much has changed since that. There are sill many issues that we are continuing to work on relative to gaming.

It's been a long, dark tunnel, but I see some light as evidenced by this meeting here today. I think that we're well on our way after litigation that has basically stalled the progress that we had anticipated with the enactment of the legislation. I know you've heard it before, but I'm going to say it again. The purpose of the riverboat statute is economic development. The statute has been and is silent as far as local participation.

We praise the Commission for recognizing that local participation is important, and in your October meeting the passage of a resolution, Resolution 93-10, that basically indicated, and I quote, "Local jurisdiction determination is an important factor in the Commission's evaluation process." With that in mind the City of Gary sat down with a lengthy and, we think, thorough evaluation process which has resulted in two preferred developers, Dunes Resort Casino and Barden/President Casino. I think you know that, and, again, I'm saying it again.

Keeping in mind that the final determination of who gets the licenses from the Commission, we urge this Commission to keep and

make a condition upon the granting of the license the following priorities and considerations for the City of Gary: Number one, within 30 days of the issuance of a certificate of suitability the successful applicant shall enter into a development agreement with the City of Gary.

That development agreement shall include and encompass all the prior letter commitments dated December 30, 1993, the binding agreement that was entered into with our preferred developers dated January 5, 1994, and all the other commitments that have been made to the City of Gary and to this Commission. It's important that these commitments be kept.

The second point is local ownership. We sincerely believe that that is a cornerstone, a key component for economic development in the City of Gary. There must be significant local ownership.

The third point is that these commitments aren't worth anything or aren't worth much unless we have the parent company back up those signatures to the line. We want that to be a condition. We also want that there be evidence, because we think timing is critical,

the boat is critical, that there be evidence that within 30 days of issuance of the certificate of suitability a boat capable of being put in the water be made available within that 90 days of a license or completion of the site improvements.

The next category is investments in the project of each developer. We want each developer to provide one half of the funds required for the purchase of the gaming site. Of course, we want each developer to have a gaming boat, and we want each developer to provide half of the funds necessary to modify the harbor. We think modification of the harbor is essential and critical to having a good gaming experience.

We want each developer to provide a staging pavilion for each vessel and to cooperate -- cooperation is an important part -- with the other licensees to provide shared facilities.

There are some on-shore developments that in the aggregate would include a hotel, a lake front park, a community park, restaurants, night clubs, shops, and other features such as a multi-purpose theater, a retail outlet mall, and a family activity center.

In addition, we want each developer to

1.0

pay one half of the city's prelicensing expenses within 30 days of the issuance of the certificate of suitability including the expenses of the project coordinator, and we want participation by the developers in upgrading of the city's emergency response capability and personnel training as necessary to support the site's emergency plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This category is called investments in the city. We want the developers to provide at least one landmark off-site project per license valued in the \$10 million range, provide 7.5 million in capital support for a new I-65 interchange for the Gary marina, a contribution of eight percent of the adjusted gross revenue, community development, create an aggregate of 2,500 new and permanent jobs, hire 67 percent employees from within the city and 90 percent from within Lake County, purchase 80 percent of the materials and equipment locally, train new permanent employees at no cost to the city or to the trainees, make 15 percent of the project equity available for investment by Gary and the residents, and other commitments that include a specific schedule for capital investment,

commitment to an abandonment penalty, commitment to a penalty for start-up delay. These are the minimum requirements that the city would ask that would be a condition of the award of any license.

There are other issues I want to briefly speak to that were alluded to by Senator Rogers.

Make no mistake. We want two licenses issued simultaneously for practical reasons and for the reasons that we think that is reflective of the statutory intent.

Finally, a brief comment on emergency preparedness. The city is aware that a site emergency response plan must be prepared once the final site development is completed. The city has already begun to work on this issue by reviewing similar emergency response plans of other sites. The city fire department chief is scheduled to meet with Coast Guard officials in the near future to discuss the details of that site plan.

I'd like to take this opportunity to thank the Commission, the members of the staff.

You have a very important decision to make. You literally hold one of the key economic

revitalization components of the City of Gary in your hand. We are confident that you are up to the task, and I thank you.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you. Welcome, Mayor Barnes.

MAYOR BARNES: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and good afternoon to you all as well. I would like to express my appreciation to this body here. It looks like I'm doing the same thing Ron did just a little bit worse. That happens sometimes. I had a cold the first time I ran for Mayor. I was going to this meeting down in the basement of this church and got right outside, and my voice totally went out. I hope that that does not happen to me right now.

This is a -- speaking of running for mayor, I will be ending my last year as mayor this year, and I certainly recognize, as I'm sure many do, that the process and initiative that we've been involved in and you will make some very critical decisions on today and tomorrow clearly represent perhaps one of the most significant, if not the most significant, development of the near eight years that I have been in office.

So we have a lot of appreciation to give to a lot of people and certainly to you and the staff, to the developers who have been involved in the process, to the total team of supporters that have worked with us throughout, and above all to the citizens of Gary, Indiana.

All of Gary's challenges to renewal are far from having been met, and when you finish this decision, you make this decision, they still won't be met. We still have substantial challenges to meet. But thanks to the decisions that already have been made, some of the choices that have been made, I think that the perseverance of Gary's citizens is beginning, just beginning, to pay off.

In 1988 the decision that was alluded to by Senator Rogers to look into this issue and to explore it and perhaps even to pursue it, a decision that was made in my office with Senator Rogers and two other individuals, one who is now deceased, was, one, to consider riverboat gaming -- or not riverboat gaming but casino gaming at that time on site, land site gaming, as a job creation, a revenue producing, and an infrastructure enhancing tool.

And for nearly five years in spite of many, many rebuffs we chose not to quit, and finally in 1993 when the gambling legislation was enacted we chose to establish a process of community inclusion and reception of all of those who expressed an interest in being involved and to bypass package deals which in some cases hinted possibly at great personal advantage but might compromise our integrity and our trusteeship.

1.3

There are many tough decisions and choices that have been made over the course of this initiative. We chose to establish minimum investment levels, and in spite of criticisms we stuck to our guns. And even those who rejected Gary's request initially have rethought the rightness and advantage of involvement with us at the levels that we established for this initiative.

And through our process with the information we sought out or was made available we made our choices of two preferred developers and a third developer to assist us in pursuing a third license. Throughout this process we've recognized that sensitive ownership, capable,

proven ownership, would be as important as all of the promises that possibly could be made and that we would miss one of the greatest opportunities that we would ever have if we failed to assure that minimum Gary involvement at every level from floor sweeper to ownership was established, and we've stuck to that requirement.

Mr. Chairman and members of this

Commission, we have been willing to make tough

choices because this initiative is important to

Gary. It is significant to Gary. It is valuable

to Gary's future. It's important to the state as

well. But it is just one of the building blocks

for our future.

At the same time that we have pursued gaming we have given equal and sometimes greater attention to our corridor development initiative and our airport development zone, and those choices are also slowly paying off. At this very moment from 2nd and Broadway to 53rd Avenue curbs and sidewalks, demolition activity, a minimall, Sears rehabilitation, a pocket park, a 100 acre area in the heart of Gary's midtown are exciting Gary citizens with the long-awaited proof of the promise of renewal of our city, from the airport

development zone to Lake Street and Millen, Oak
Mill housing, Hermanio housing, and historic
Ambassador Apartments for low income, moderate
income, and fixed income senior citizens, renewal
that is under way right this moment, not
promises, bricks and mortar and dust, not

tomorrow, now.

But each is just a building block, part of Gary's planned renewal, and not perfect plans. The trees we planted, the \$300,000 pocket park at 5th and Broadway, the \$18 million sewer project in Black Oak, riverboat gaming, all are building blocks, and all must give respect to the umbrella Gary, Indiana, not the reverse. None of these building blocks is greater than our city, the city that they are helping and playing an important part and can play an important part in helping us to reveal.

And I understand that knowledgeable, experienced, professional developers and their consultants have invested months and hundreds of thousands of dollars in pursuit of this Gary initiative, and we respect them, and we are pleased about that.

But the citizens of Gary and this

administration and Council and the administration of my predecessors have invested untold man hours and years and millions of dollars and the heartbreak and long suffering of our citizens in the rebuilding of our city, a city devastated by the collapse of our major industry. Many have contributed to the slow, painful recovery of Gary, recovery that we're making right now, but none have contributed more than the city and its citizens.

I thought about whether I would tell this story about this lady that I've told so many times in schools that I've visited, and I decided that I would. This lady with a husband and some children, a lot of children, decided to get a job. She wanted to help put some clothing on her children's back and more food on the table, and so finally after searching around she found a job in the local grocery store.

She worked into her second week, and the boss came to her and told her Louise, you're really doing an outstanding job, I'm really proud of you, but if you're going to continue to work here you have to put your hand on the scale. And what he was saying was that if she wanted to

continue to put food on her table, to put clothes on the backs of her children she would have to take the food off the table of her neighbors and the clothes off her neighbors' children's backs.

And Louise did not return even to pick up pay for the second week of work. There was something bigger than the money, more valuable than the coats for her children and more valuable even than the food for her family's table. It was integrity and trust.

And, Mr. Chairman and members of this Commission, throughout this process we too have done all in our power to warrant the trust of citizens, of this Commission, the state, and all we have dealt with. We have made choices as to minimal expectations based on what we believe represent the best interests of our citizens and we think respect the rights of developers and the state certainly. And we believe we are in a position, a good position, to know.

We ask that you assure that the chosen developers can meet and are committed to meeting those minimal requirements represented to you and represented to us and respectful of the vision and plan for Gary. We made many, many choices.

Some have been good, some not as good, but we have made all of them, we believe, for the right reasons.

And I have often wondered through my life would I be mayor of one of the greatest cities in this nation had my mother made a decision to put her hand on the scale for her eleven children. She made a decision for the right reasons, and we think her decision paid off. Thank you.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you, Mayor. We're now scheduled for a lunch break. It was originally scheduled for an hour and 15 minutes. Is there any consensus to make it an hour and a half, or should we hold to a hour and 15? The consensus is an hour and 15. We will reconvene at about 2:20.

(At this time the noon recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)

MR. KLINEMAN: Let's come back to order. At this time the Commission and Mr. Thar will be propounding questions to the applicants to try to clear up any questions that we might have, any misunderstandings that have come out of the result of the hearings in Gary and the

presentations here this morning.

We would also for the purposes of our record when a question is asked it might well be asked to a group, and who will respond we don't know, but whoever you want to have respond can respond, but for the purpose of our record if the responder would state his name so that we can make the record complete as to who was, in fact, answering the question. So with that caveat we'll move forward. We'll start with whichever Commissioner would like to propound a question, and it could be either to one of the applicants or to the City.

MR. SUNDWICK: I'd like to ask the city, I think, Mr. King, you had a list of requirements that you spoke of earlier.

MR. KING: Yes.

MR. SUNDWICK: Was that list of requirements requirements that were, in fact, part of the packages that were represented earlier in Gary?

MR. KING: That list is an accumulation of letter commitments that had been committed to by the developers in addition to binding development agreements that have been executed by

1 the preferred developers and commitments made to 2 this Commission as well as to the City of Gary. MR. SUNDWICK: I'm just trying to get an 3 understanding. 5 MR. KING: Yes. MR. SUNDWICK: So everything on the list 6 7 that you've asked for all these applicants are 8 well aware of? This is something that is not a 9 problem for them that you --10 MR. KING: I think they're aware of 11 those commitments and those requests. They are 12 aware of it. 13 DR. ROSS: Has everyone signed the 14 agreements, all four of the groups? 15 They have not, no. MR. KING: MR. SUNDWICK: So you're asking us to 16 17 make that list part of the agreement? 18 MR. KING: Exactly. 19 Could I expound on that MR. HENSLEY: 20 just a second. I'd like to ask the Trump 21 organization and Lakeside -- I think you're the 22 two that did not sign an agreement with the city 2.3 -- how your incentive package differs from what

the city has requested. Could you help us with

24

25

that?

1 MR. DENNEHY: We haven't seen --2 MR. KLINEMAN: Could you state your 3 name. 4 MR. DENNEHY: My name's Patrick Dennehy, 5 Trump organization. 6 MR. KLINEMAN: Could you state your 7 name. 8 MR. DENNEHY: Patrick Dennehy of the 9 Trump organization. We had not heard of these 10 conditions in total until Attorney King got up. 11 Therefore, we haven't had an opportunity to see 12 which parts of it pertain to our -- we think 13 probably a preliminary discussion between us 14 probably should put it to rest of what was 15 presented that pertains to our presentation. 16 MR. HENSLEY: Would Lakeside like to 17 respond also, please. 18 MR. MEYERS: Steven Meyers for 19 Lakeside. This is the first time we've seen 20 those conditions as well. Some of these have 21 been bandied about, and we've heard of that being 22 requested of the other applicants, the two 23 endorsed applicants, and our response would be we

would sit down with the city, the State Gaming

Commission, to negotiate those out in good faith

24

25

as we would any other business deal.

1

2

3

4

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were included.

MR. SUNDWICK: Mr. Barden, these conditions, they're not new to you at all?

MR. BARDEN: Don Barden,

Barden/President. Mr. Commissioner, on May 13, 1994, the city sent to the Gaming Commission

budget commitments in a package that I think was

8 requested by Mr. Thar of those recommended

9 developers, and most of what Mr. King alluded to

10 | was included in our proposal. And with that

11 | joint development project commitment in order to

12 proceed with the development of the joint site

plan, the complications with the Army Corps,

14 etc., the city sent a cover letter.

These items, most of which Mr. King mentioned, I don't recall every single item, but most of them appear to be in that package that was sent to the Gaming Commission, and it is consistent with what we signed with the city in December and the January 6th agreement with the city and, more importantly, with our application to the Gaming Commission. We have been consistent all the way, and those items mostly

MR. SUNDWICK: At the hearings in Gary

were all those disclosed in your presentation?

MR. BARDEN: Yes.

MR. SUNDWICK: So, in fact, if I said that these are a part of your presentation there is nothing on that list that wasn't part of your original presentation?

MR. BARDEN: I don't recall every item, but most of what I recall was on our original presentation, included in our proposals from day one, from January 6th through the public hearing in Gary all the way through. We have been consistent. We have not changed. That is part of our \$116 million commitment.

MR. HENSLEY: One of the problems I guess that some of us are running into is that there were different incentives in each one of these proposals. Some of them included things that were not in your proposal, for example, but they all carried dollar values so to impose all of the restrictions or the complete list that was presented this morning without looking at those additional incentives that someone else may have put in their package leaves us at a situation where it's very, very difficult to make that kind of choice or decision. So we're sort of looking

at it from the standpoint of what those incentives were to the city that was presented to us at the Gary meetings because we have those, in fact.

MR. SUNDWICK: Would it be fair to say

-- and any one of the applicants can jump up and
answer this. Would it be fair to say that on the
granting of a license that the city requirements

-- I don't want to say exactly what the
proposals were, but I think the common ground, I
think one of the gentlemen just mentioned that we
can make an agreement with somebody, saying if
we're a little bit off here and a little bit off
there we can get together on this.

MR. TRUMP: Yes, sir.

MR. MEYERS: Yes, I think those items can be negotiated. All the packages are different to a certain extent, and I do think, Mr. Commissioner, if everyone commits to what they committed to at the hearing in Gary then whatever two you select can mesh those together and make a workable project that would satisfy the city's requests.

MR. SUNDWICK: So we'd have the city and two applicants would all be in concert with each

1 other, and we can be assured of that? 2 MR. MEYERS: Yes. And I think that's 3 what the city is asking for is that whatever was 4 committed to at these hearings that you are 5 required to live up to that. б MR. SUNDWICK: Is that what the city's 7 asking? 8 MR. KING: That's what the city's asking 9 for. 10 Lakeside, you said in the DR. ROSS: 11 sports arena that teenage kids would be working? 12 MR. SMITH: Yes, sir, at Sportopia and 13 we can employ 16 year olds because the Sportopia 14 part of that complex is not a gaming -- this is 15 Don Smith -- is not a gaming complex. Sportopia 16 is an entertainment complex. But we can employ 17 in excess of 400 people, and 16 year olds can 18 work. 19 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I have a question for 20 the city. If the developers each pay 50 percent 21 of the cost of the harbor and 50 percent of the 22 cost of developing the harbor who then owns the 23 harbor or the property, the land that is on the

25 MAYOR BARNES: We said it's essential

Who owns the land?

24

lake?

that the city own it. There's never been any representation other than that in anything that we've been involved with throughout this process.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Now, I know at one time there was some question as to whether the developers would be willing to spend 50 percent of what the cost, the numbers being floated around, was. Have you talked to them further? Do you feel that they will? Or maybe the developers themselves can answer to that, whether this can happen.

mayor barnes: Well, I'm sure they can respond to it, but I know that in our discussions that has always been the representation, that's always been the approach, and, in fact, some of the additional cost came out of the overall incentive packages that the city was to get. In other words, some things being shipped from one place to another in order to meet some of those expenses in terms of acquisition and what have you.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Maybe the developers or applicants can respond to that also as to whether that's been worked out.

1 MR. KLINEMAN: Well, before we leave the Mayor I'd like to ask him one question, Ann, and 2 3 then get back to you. 4 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Oh, go ahead. 5 MR. KLINEMAN: If the city is going to 6 own the property is it going to ask for something 7 in addition to the incentives that have been 8 talked about here for the land use? 9 MAYOR BARNES: You mean additional 10 dollars? 11 Right. Are you going to MR. KLINEMAN: 12 be charging them something? 13 MAYOR BARNES: You mean a lease 14 package? 15 MR. KLINEMAN: Beg your pardon? 16 MAYOR BARNES: You mean a lease? 17 MR. KLINEMAN: Yes. 18 MAYOR BARNES: We've not discussed any 19 compensation for a lease package. That is not 20 something that's been discussed at any time. 21 MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. Excuse me, Ann. 22 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: That was a good point. 23 Now, I'd like to hear from the applicants. 24 MR. BOB FARAHI: My name is Bob Farahi. 25 We have agreed to pay 50 percent of the total

```
1
    cost of the purchase of the property, and what we
2
    will do is we will then deed it to the city, and
 3
    the city will turn around and lease it back to us
 4
    for a dollar a year.
              MR. HENSLEY: For how long? A dollar or
 5
 6
    year for how long?
 7
              MR. BOB FARAHI: I think 99 years.
8
              MR. HENSLEY: And what if you have the
9
     licensing?
              MR. BOB FARAHI: As long as I may live.
10
11
                            The audience felt that was
              MR. HENSLEY:
12
    very humorous. We didn't hear what your answer
1.3
     was.
14
              MR. BOB FARAHI: I'll be happy if you
     make it as long as I may live.
15
16
              MR. HENSLEY: Oh, okay. That was
17
     funny.
18
              MR. BARDEN:
                           I think the concept here is
19
     to have the developers, since they're doing the
     economic development package, acquire and make
20
21
     the improvements, and the city will lease it back
22
     on a long-term lease basis, and I think the
23
     concept -- there were two numbers kicked around,
24
     39 years and 99 years. The latest draft document
```

says 99 years for one dollar a year subject to

25

- the continued renewal of the license, and I think that's fair and acceptable to us, and I think all
- 3 | four of the applicants will agree to that
- 4 | concept.
- 5 MR. DENNEHY: Patrick Dennehy, Trump
- 6 organization. We also concur that that's the
- 7 agreement that we thought we would be entering
- 8 | into.
- 9 MR. MEYERS: Steve Meyers for Lakeside.
- 10 | That would be acceptable where we buy it and
- 11 lease it back for a buck for 99 years.
- MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Thank you.
- MR. SUNDWICK: Let me just ask a
- 14 question. In 99 years if you didn't have a
- 15 license for 99 years is it you and the city or
- 16 | the city -- if you didn't retain the license as
- 17 | an organization, you know, you might pick up the
- 18 | whole lease. I mean, if I were you guys I'd have
- 19 | a real lease deal for the next guy who gets the
- 20 license. Has has there been any thought to that
- 21 by the city?
- 22 MAYOR BARNES: I'm not sure that I can
- 23 respond to that. I'm sure everyone else can.
- 24 There are a lot of little nuances that have to be
- 25 | worked out. We think there are some practices in

the trade, if you will, that would allow us to do it. That's one of the reasons that we've also requested that it be essential that within 30 days that the chosen developers and the city sit down in good faith bargaining to hammer out a development agreement, and then we can deal with those little items at this particular point.

There may be some uncertainty as to how to handle that.

MR. SUNDWICK: I'd like to know the answer.

MAYOR BARNES: Me too, sir.

MR. SUNDWICK: Thanks.

MR. VOWELS: My question is if these requested conditions within the 30 days of the certificate being awarded if the applicant who gets a certificate and they enter into this development agreement, or at least negotiations, what happens if you don't have a meeting of the minds? Then what happens? If you don't have a set criteria before the certificate's issued then how is anybody going to be obligated to do this if they sit down with you and they say no?

MR. BARDEN: Commissioner, I think 30 days may be unrealistic. I think in the original

concept the Commission was going to set certain conditions for a period of time certain as part of the conditions on the certificate of suitability, and I think that should be the time frame in which it should be worked out. I don't know if that's a six-month period or a nine-month period or a year, but that should be the time that all the documentation prior to licensing is delivered to the Commission.

MR. SUNDWICK: Mr. Thar, what is that time frame?

MR. THAR: I didn't understand the time frame to be the way Mr. Barden -- or what Mr. Barden addressed is not what was contemplated for the time frame. The time frame for the certificate of suitability by the rules is six months subject to a renewal, and I believe Mr. Barden is suggestinging that the paperwork necessary to accomplish these various things that are being discussed also be allowed to be due at the end of that six-month period.

That is a concept I don't know if this Commission's comfortable with because I think some commissioners have expressed to me anyway the desire to see some things happen at a time

line shorter than 180 days rather than wait 180
days and see that one complete package come in.

For instance, maybe the negotiations with the

For instance, maybe the negotiations with the city, if they are to occur, ought to occur and be completed after 60 days, and other things can

6 occur during that 180-day period.

Mr. Barden is expressing, but I think this

Commission's more comfortable with the concept of
during that 180-day period setting different time
lines, certain goals within that period that must
be met. And if you don't mind I'll just throw
that to each of the applicants starting with

Barden/President through Dunes, Lakeside, and

Trump, let's just go alphabetically, as to can
you meet deadlines within that 180-day period if
the Commission imposes them as a part of the
issuance of the certificate?

MR. BARDEN: Yes. We would adhere to that schedule of certain milestones at certain intervals.

MR. BOB FARAHI: I think it's crucial that for us to enter into the development agreement with the city and the other applicant within a wishful period of time, I'm hoping 30

1 days, no more than 30 days, that we know so that 2 we can start with the work of getting the site ready to receive the boat because in our case 3 4 when I say May 26th I mean May 26th. I don't 5 want to wait six months to negotiate a lease with 6 the developer and the city and be in a limbo. 7 from our point of view it's very important to get 8 that time period as short as possible. Thirty 9 days is fine with me. 10 MR. SMITH: Don Smith, Lakeside. 11 answer to the specific question, Mr. Thar, is

yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. RIBIS: Nicholas Ribis on behalf of It's just easier this way. Our answer is Trump. yes, we would work within the time periods.

MR. SUNDWICK: Thirty days wouldn't be a problem?

MR. SMITH: Within the six months.

DR. ROSS: Well, within the six months two applicants have indicated that they would have boats in the water in six months so they've got to have agreements sometime before six months.

MR. THAR: The certificate of suitability is only good for a maximun of six

- 1 | months unless extended by this Commission.
- 2 There's nothing that says they can't get all the
- 3 stuff completed and up and running within 35 days
- 4 | if they can do it. It's just six months is the
- 5 outside limit, not the period of time it has to
- 6 be.
- 7 MR. SUNDWICK: Our interest today -- I'm
- 8 | sure you could do it in a six-month period. I'm
- 9 looking at this cooperation agreement between the
- 10 city, and if that's going to take six months
- 11 | you've got a problem. I think, sir, you said
- 12 | that we would want to make an agreement within 30
- 13 days. That's what I'm asking everybody else is
- 14 | if you can do that. I'm assuming you can.
- MR. BARDEN: I just want to clarify that
- 16 | we can do that.
- MR. RIBIS: Nick Ribis on behalf of
- 18 Trump. We would endeavor -- we're a little
- 19 disadvantaged as is Lakeside, Trump. We haven't
- 20 been dealing with the city specifically on these
- 21 | issues. Mr. Trump and I would make ourselves
- 22 available with Mr. Dennehy, our counsel. The
- 23 problem is that we haven't had the intimate
- 24 details of what's gone on with the city and the
- 25 developers.

MR. HENSLEY: One of the things, as far as I'm concerned, we would like to issue the certificates of suitability, but we don't want to issue them open-ended so there has to be some pretty fine additions it seems to me that we're looking at. The first set of conditions that we're looking at are based on those things which you have presented to us as incentives that you would have paid to the city and were included in your investment package and so forth. We can look at those, and we can compare those.

But the problem that we have here at this particular point is that we don't know how making some kind of blanket statement that there are other incentives, unknown to us perhaps, as compared to your incentive list that must be incorporated into this without knowing what it's going to cost and what's involved and so forth which places us back in the position of this being sort of open-ended and, therefore, unacceptable, as far as I'm concerned.

MR. SUNDWICK: I understood that Mr. Barden said that, in fact, everything that they agreed to with the city was basically in their presentation, and I think he also said that --

and correct me if I'm wrong because I may not understand then -- that, in fact, everybody listened to all the other presentations, and there wasn't really much in each one of them that the others couldn't accomplish; is that right?

So, therefore, in 30 days you ought to find that watermark, and the city already said No, Everybody pretty much understands what our requirements were, and everybody seems to be nodding their head right now. So whatever you said you'd do if we make you accountable for what you said you'd do that should answer all these questions. Everybody's nodding their heads. I did understand.

MR. KLINEMAN: Let the record show there's a lot of nodding heads.

MR. HENSLEY: Did the city also nod their head?

MR. SUNDWICK: Yes, they did.

MR. VOWELS: My question goes back to preferred developers negotiating with the city for X to get the endorsement, and what if we give one license to a preferred developer and the other license to someone who wasn't preferred? What's the obligation of the nonpreferred

developer to do anything other than what was told
to us at the end of August and early September?

If the city demands things from them we've
already given them the certificate of

suitability, and they could say Get lost. We've

suitability, and they could say Get lost, We've got it, We never promised anything other than

7 what we said in the hearing.

MR. KLINEMAN: I think we would hear that all of the people, whether they've entered into a development agreement with the city or not, are willing to sit down with the city and work on it, and what we probably need to do is have some time limits shorter than the 180 days we're talking about when whoever was chosen would report back to us as to what is agreeable and where they stand in that respect.

MR. VOWELS: Then what happens if they don't have an agreement? Do they come back to us and we say we can forge an agreement?

MR. KLINEMAN: We don't intend to get into the business of forging agreements. My position would be that the city and the developers, to the extent that they are not already committed to each other, would be reasonable and come together and have an

1 agreement within a specific period of time.

1.3

I don't think I'm willing at this point to say what would happen if these parties were unable to come to an agreement. We might, even though we want to conclude this matter, we just would like to see it concluded in a very amicable fashion, and we're not going to become enforcers in that respect.

MR. VOWELS: I guess if I could ask

Lakeside and Trump since they weren't preferred,

what would be your incentive to reach an

agreement with the city if we gave you the

certificate of suitability?

MR. SMITH: The pragmatic answer to that, Mr. Vowels -- and I've heard all of this. I don't presume to give legal advice to the Commission because you won't let me send you a bill.

MR. VOWELS: You can send it. We won't pay it.

MR. SMITH: Our expenses continue to mount. Pragmatically we can't drag our feet. We have spent nearly a hundred thousand dollars.

Our expenses mount. Now, some of these things I don't if they've been thought through or not, but

why not provide for arbitration? If we have the city and two developers and they can't meet on an issue let's have some claws. We can do that among ourselves without bothering the Commission for arbitration.

Next thing is, my understanding in most jurisdictions is that a 99 year lease is tantamount to what we call a fee simple. Now, if you're going to get into the issue of financing and who's going to hold a title at a particular time the financing institutions will tell you what they can or can't do. I'm not trying to complicate the procedure. I see a great deal of work that has to be done between the two applicants and the city. I don't see any reason why it can't be done, and I think everybody wants to get on to it.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ KLINEMAN: I think the Mayor wanted to respond to some item.

MAYOR BARNES: Yes, I think I should.

It needs to be clear to the Commission, Mr.

Chairman, that on December the 30th, and perhaps a day or two before that, we had extensive hour long -- I mean hours long conferences with every developer that is here perhaps except one, I'm

not sure, Lakeside, and that was with Trump, that was with Monarch, and with Barden/President, where we hammered out what our expectations was in letter agreements signed that indicated substantially -- I can't say what the number is, but I would guess 95 percent or more of what we've talked about here was included in that, and if there are any changes they are nuances, but substantially that was hammered out in those letter agreements with everyone here.

So I don't want it to appear that suddenly the city has sprung some things that no one knew anything about. Everyone here knew about them. There were some who did not buy into them but then, you know, bought into them later on, and that's fine with us too. But I just wanted to make that clear.

MR. KLINEMAN: Then the record is that the two preferred developers did, in fact, agree to 95 percent, is that the ratio that we had of Mr. King's wish list?

MAYOR BARNES: On that list -- on the list that we -- on December 30th or thereabouts we had letter agreements from Barden/President, we had a letter agreement from Trump, we had a

1 letter agreement from Monarch. We did not have 2 those agreements, as you know, from Lakeside, but 3 later they did come up to that standard. 4 MR. KLINEMAN: One other quick question 5 of Mr. King. We had some confusion I think about 6 one of the last items on your list was something 7 about eight percent. We didn't understand that 8 particular item at all. 9 MR. KING: Yes. The statute provides 10 for five percent. We negotiated with all the 11 developers an additional three percent for a 12 total of eight percent. That's what that is. Ι 13 should have explained that. 14 MR. SUNDWICK: And everybody -- I'd like 15 to go back to nodding your heads again. It was a 16 lot easier. Everybody's -- that, Mr. King, is, 17 in fact, what everybody agreed to in their 18 positions in Gary, right? 19 MR. KING: That's correct. 20 MR. SUNDWICK: That's fine. 21 MR. HENSLEY: Mr. King, are you 22 comfortable with those letters that these 23 companies have executed with you?

MR. KING:

refined and detailed, but they represent

The letters need to be more

24

25

1 principles of which we are comfortable with, but there needs to be more detail. There are issues --

Are you asking us to do MR. HENSLEY: those extra details?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KING: No. We've got to do that.

Well, I think what I'm MR. HENSLEY: getting at is if you have signed letters of agreement with all of the different applicants for what they have agreed to do with the City of Gary why do we have to complicate the licensing process to expand that?

Because of the detail that's MR. KING: necessary. The letter agreements are general principles, components for which we feel comfortable that needs to be a part of the agreement, but some of those, because of their general nature, need to be worked out in detail, and we are asking the Commission that once we work those details out to be a part of it.

Isn't that the basis of MR. HENSLEY: your negotiations with these applicants? trying to get around trying to complicate this certificate to the point where we don't know what it is that we're asking the people to do, and that seems to be the situation without being able to go back and compare all these requirements and flesh out the details and so forth. It seems to me that if you have the letter agreement with these applicants and can resolve the situation as far as Lakeside is concerned that that ought to

MR. KING: I think we can start with that as a basis. I can agree with you on that.

MR. SUNDWICK: We can just put general terminology in this and say you're all going to get together and get this handled?

MR. KING: Yes.

cover what you request.

MR. KLINEMAN: But we're going to put a pretty strict deadline because the one thing that we want to know is where we stand with the granting of the certificates of suitability, and we don't want to be left in the dark. The Mayor has some more.

MAYOR BARNES: I didn't want to leave that nebulous, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. What we simply were asking, and we're not asking the Commission to do the work, we spelled out specifically those items that we felt should be minimum, and we want to -- we're asking that the requirement to enter into development agreements

that are consistent with those items that we spelled out, not necessarily that you --

MR. KLINEMAN: I think what Mr. Hensley was saying is that if you have agreements that rise to the force of a contract between you and the developer that should be enough. We shouldn't have to condition anything upon any of those items, but if we aren't down to the details, and as they say many times the devil is in the details, if we're not down to that place we want everyone to sit down and in good faith work all that out so you're satisfied that you have a contractual agreement with whatever developer we have, but that's going to have to be done quickly so we know where we are.

MAYOR BARNES: I'll agree, Mr.

Chairman. And the only other thing I would say is this: The letter agreements, which are about three or four pages, do not spell out the kind of things that a development agreement would require because you're dealing with time tables and things of that type, and if they're not spelled out fully then obviously it could defeat the whole project.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anyone else have any

1 topics? I was going to run a short list of
2 things that I have so --

MR. SUNDWICK: I would just add one, and this is not a question except a comment. We had asked extensively in the last meetings in Gary that everybody reexamine their ownership of the boats with local participation. I think everybody did a pretty good job with that. I certainly appreciate that. I think it says a lot for the city and yourselves and your commitment to the city and the citizens of Gary so I appreciate that.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: I just had one more question. In particular I was interested in the answer from Trump, but I'd kind of like to just clarify it from everybody. Most -- I think a couple of you have been pretty specific. Is the status of your boat and where that stands -- I know you talked about it last time, and I would just like that clarified.

MR. RIBIS: The status of the boat is that we have prepared, as Mr. Dennehy stated, to have the boat prepared and ready to open in six months, and the status is as soon as we know where we are we'll move expeditiously.

```
1
              MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Do you have the boat?
 2
              MR. RIBIS: Oh, yes, we have the boat,
 3
           We've gotten a grant for it, and we've
 4
     spent money for architectural and naval engineers
 5
    so we're ready to qo.
 6
              MR. KLINEMAN: Ready to go can be
7
    quantified somewhat.
8
              MR. RIBIS: Yes. We're ready to go
9
     today if we're lucky enough to be awarded a
10
    working license.
11
              MR. KLINEMAN: And you would expect to
12
     be open when on a temporary basis?
13
              MR. RIBIS: Six months.
14
              MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. I thought that was
15
    your answer.
16
              MR. RIBIS: Yes. I'm sorry.
                                            Is that
17
     sufficient?
18
              MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And you would
19
     anticipate that this particular boat, even the
20
     initial one, would be able to be certified with
21
     all the proper --
22
              MR. RIBIS: Yes. Mr. Dennehy has
23
     handled all those details, and they tell me that
24
     the answer is yes.
```

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: And I guess everybody

else can nod. I believe everybody else touched on that, or if you want to --

MR. BOB FARAHI: Our boat is at Bender Shipyard right now. A lot of work has been done on the boat. As we've told the Commission, we've spent half a million dollars in restoring the boat. Because of all the work that has been done it's going to take about six months to finish it. Had we not done all that work it would probably take about a year to finish it so I have a commitment, and again, as I said before, if it's not here by May 26th we are going to pay a million dollars a month because I know it's going to be here.

MR. ELLERS: Ed Ellers for

Barden/President. Our boat is 95 percent

completed. It's actually certified by the Coast

Guard today. It has all the carpets laid. The

surveillance equipment is installed. About the

only thing left on our boat are some minor

modifications for us to be able to operate

year-round in Buffington which would be done

almost immediately and also putting in the gaming

equipment which we own. It is not installed, but

the company owns it. It's all paid for. We

could be ready -- six months would be an outside date for us, probably less.

MR. SMITH: Don Smith, Lakeside. As I told you this morning, we have seven bids from seven major yards. It's a question of whether we're awarded the license and then close on our boat -- we have the last figures that we presented to you in Gary -- and let our bid so the engineering boat work can be completed.

I would like to add something that's not complicated. You know, I don't see how you separate the boat from the land. The land issue has to be resolved too before the boat can go out, but we perceive them to be a reasonable organization.

MR. KLINEMAN: Let me start then a few questions that I have. We'll start with Barden. You held up a bunch of papers that you said were a wave study that you have conducted, and my question is: We had heard that the Corps of Engineers was asking for a wave study. Is that the wave study that they were looking for, or is this another wave study?

MR. BARDEN: I believe it's the one the Corps was looking for. We have submitted it to

Some

```
1
     the Corps, and we think it will help to expedite
     the process and answer some questions that you
 2
 3
     could not answer unless you had that so we went
 4
     ahead and took it.
 5
              MR. KLINEMAN: How long ago was it
 6
     submitted to the Corps if you know?
 7
              BARDEN REPRESENTATIVE:
                                      This is the
 8
     first draft. Chances are it has not been
 9
     submitted yet. This came out December 7th.
10
              MR. BARDEN: It was December 7th so it's
11
     recent.
             It's on its way.
12
              MR. KLINEMAN: Well, it might be on its
13
    way; is that right?
14
              MR. BARDEN: That's right. Very good
15
     point.
16
              MR. KLINEMAN: We're all thrilled with
17
     the fact that you may close your transaction
18
     soon, Mr. Barden, and we want to congratulate
19
     you. We also want to talk to Mr. Ellers about
20
     what's going on with the President part of this
21
     group. How have your operational results been
22
     for the first part of this year or up to the
23
     latest date that you can give us figures?
24
              MR. ELLERS: President's operations on
```

an operational basis have been very good.

of the corporate overhead, some of the buying restructuring charges caused some of the bottom line of the earnings per share numbers to be a little negative. Actually we would break even for the last quarter on an operational basis. Again, we've had a lot of extraordinary expenses in refinancing our bonds which is completed and also, quite candidly, a lot of campaign expenses in Missouri related to the recent election.

But operationally, as I indicated,

Davenport is extremely profitable, doing very,

very well. We get the numbers from Iowa, the

public numbers. We've had about two and a half

times our revenue over the last several months.

Biloxi continues to remain profitable even

through the last quarter despite intense

competition.

MR. KLINEMAN: Wait. You're giving me profits that are positive, is that right, but overall the company --

MR. ELLERS: Overall the company has been on a break even on an operational basis plus there have been extraordinary expenses which we acknowledge were for one time --

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, we've got a CPA

```
1
    here on the board, and I took accounting, about
2
     four years of it under my belt, so the figures
 3
     for President as a company was that you were
     negative, correct, based on a period of time?
5
              MR. ELLERS:
                           Through the second quarter
6
     of this year that is correct.
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                             Okay. And now you for
8
     the third quarter are on a break even; is that
     it?
9
10
                           We have not yet announced
              MR. ELLERS:
     that, and as a public company I can't do that,
11
12
     but things are improving.
13
              MR. KLINEMAN: Beg your pardon?
14
                           Things are improving.
              MR. ELLERS:
15
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                             Okay. And you, of
16
     course, are optimistic now that you're able to
17
     operate full blown in Iowa and also now that you
18
     can operate full blown in St. Louis; is that
19
     correct?
20
              MR. ELLERS:
                           That's correct.
21
              MR. KLINEMAN: And this Carter Lake
22
     project that you're talking about, what is that
23
     going to do to your financial situation?
24
                           Well, Carter Lake is a
              MR. ELLERS:
25
```

project on the Nebraska border near Omaha,

Nebraska. If we are fortunate enough to get that project that project involves between a fifty and fifty-five million dollar commitment. About thirty of that is in the boat which we own and is already paid for so we have an additional \$25 million commitment.

So in terms of financing we don't need any additional financing because we don't have to finance the boat. We already own it so we can use that money for Carter Lake. On an earnings per share basis there have been numbers submitted to the IRS and Gaming Commission. We are looking at that as a fifty to sixty million dollar revenue project. It's a much smaller project.

MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. Anyone else have anything about the financials for Barden/President group?

MR. HENSLEY: Not Barden specifically.

MR. KLINEMAN: I guess you're excused then for a minute. Next I'd go to Monarch. How have been your operating results for the most recent period concluded for your operation?

MR. JOHN FARAHI: Mr. Chairman, my name's John Farahi. We were under construction, and our revenues are up in September 70 percent

over the previous year, and for the month of August we were about 50 percent so because we were under construction we had many extraordinary expenses too, but now that the construction is all finished as of October and we have small profit for last quarter, the third quarter of the year, but we expect a substantial increase for this quarter and especially for next year. And since we are a public company the numbers are on the street and, as was indicated earlier this morning, the financial analysts believe we are going to be experiencing a tremendous increase in our operating income for 1995.

MR. KLINEMAN: What ever happened to the old accounting concept of having to capitalize things when you were building buildings and things like that?

MR. JOHN FARAHI: Because of being a public company we understand it is much better if you write them off the sooner the better so the future is, I'm sure, a much better bottom line.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anyone have any questions about the finances for the Monarch group?

MR. THAR: I do.

MR. KLINEMAN: Yes, go ahead, Mr. Thar.

MR. THAR: There was a representation today that a line of credit, your line of credit, has been expanded by \$10 million, or did I misunderstand that?

MR. JOHN FARAHI: Yes. That's the First Interstate Bank. Since we have finished our construction now they have given us a \$10.5 million line that we could immediately use for purposes of Gary.

MR. THAR: There was also a figure of \$30 million. What's the relationship between those two figures?

MR. JOHN FARAHI: The \$30 million was our second phase of expansion that was completed, as I indicated, about two months ago or about, yes, October. We're totally completed with that phase. That was the \$30 million for that expansion. On top of that, once we finished the expansion on budget and on time the bank had the confidence in us to give us another \$10.5 million line of credit so we could immediately apply that to the project in Gary and so we do not have to wait for any funding to get started.

MR. THAR: Does that mean your available line of credit now is 10.5 million or 41

```
1
     million?
 2
              MR. JOHN FARAHI: $10.5 million.
              MR. THAR:
 3
                         What is the cost of that
 4
     credit?
 5
              MR. JOHN FARAHI: Beg your pardon?
 6
              MR. THAR: What is the cost of that
 7
     credit?
 8
              MR. JOHN FARAHI:
                                 The cost of that
 9
     credit --
10
                          I'm Ben Frank from First
              MR. FRANK:
11
     Interstate Bank. The specifics of it, there's
12
     naturally a fee related to putting the facility
13
     in place, and the actual rate that is charged is
14
     a LIBOR on a prime base. That is based upon
1.5
     performance of the company. It's a little bit
16
     higher based upon various performance ratios
17
     which is fairly standard in the industry at this
18
     time.
19
              MR. KLINEMAN: You mean if you don't do
20
     well you pay more than if you do well?
21
              MR. FRANK:
                            Exactly.
22
                              I've always loved bankers
              MR. KLINEMAN:
23
     for that concept.
24
              MR. SUNDWICK: This is your chance for
25
```

an attorney joke now if you want.

```
1
              MR. KLINEMAN: I'm sure the bankers out
2
     number us here.
 3
              MR. SUNDWICK: Is this line of credit,
 4
     it's 40 million?
 5
              MR. FRANK: There's an existing $30
 6
    million that has been utilized, and then there's
7
     also an additional $10.5 million that's
 8
     available.
 9
              MR. THAR: Okay. And what is the cost
10
    of that additional $10.5 million?
11
              MR. FRANK: Specifically?
12
              MR. THAR:
                         Yeah.
13
              MR. KLINEMAN: You're asking questions
14
     like a woman.
              MR. THAR: Well, is it under 20
15
16
     percent?
              MR. FRANK: Oh, absolutely.
17
18
              MR. THAR: What is it?
19
              MR. FRANK: For example, the performance
20
     varies from prime plus a half percent to prime
21
     plus two percent based upon their ratios.
22
              MR. THAR: What's the fee?
23
              MR. FRANK: The fee is -- there's a
24
     $50,000 front end fee for the facility, and then
25
     there is a $150,000 fee on the incremental amount
```

1 that's shared among the various banks that will 2 participate in this. 3 MR. THAR: That's prime plus anywhere 4 from a half to two? MR. FRANK: Prime plus a half to prime 5 6 plus two based upon their performance, and 7 there's also a LIBOR option. 8 MR. THAR: Pardon me? 9 MR. FRANK: A LIBOR option, London 10 Interbank Offer Rate option. 11 MR. THAR: And --12 MR. FRANK: It's at the company's option 13 whichever one they choose. 14 MR. THAR: Thank you. That's all I had 15 to ask of Dunes. 16 MS. BOCHNOWSKI: On the Monarch project 17 have you gotten good solid figures on how much your project is going to cost? I'm talking about 18 19 Mr. Wilday's portion of it, the hotel and so on. 20 Do you have good solid figures on that? 21 MR. WILDAY: I believe that since we've 22 just finished a project very similar to this our 23 numbers are very current.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Is that the Jazz

project? Is that the one you're talking about?

24

MR. WILDAY: No. It's the Monarch
Clarion in Reno.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Okay.

MR. BOB FARAHI: If I may add, the major portion of what will cover our costs is in the boat, and beyond that (Inaudible). So I'm going to add that to the place in Reno that we just finished (Inaudible).

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: As to the Trump organization you had mentioned that your project would cost \$153 million. How confident do you feel with financing on that based on all your other projects?

MR. RIBIS: I think we stated that we felt very confident, and our numbers are firm.

We've spent a lot of time and effort, and we're experts on it. Mr. Trump has reviewed them personally, and I think he feels that the numbers for the hotel and permanent facilities are what we believe it will cost, and they have been submitted to the Commission.

MR. KLINEMAN: Well, let's get down to specifics. You have no commitment in hand for the amount of money that you would need. You just feel confident you could get it; is that

1 where we are? 2 MR. RIBIS: Yes. 3 MR. KLINEMAN: And what period of time 4 would you think that you really could put a 5 commitment in place for this specific project? 6 MR. RIBIS: For the overall facility, 7 for the permanent facility? 8 MR. KLINEMAN: Why don't you answer the 9 question that you want to answer, and then I'll 10 see if I have any more questions. 11 MR. RIBIS: On the temporary boat and 12 facility I think we have cash available to do 13 that. On a permanent basis we'd like to -- I 14 think a period of time would be necessary, and 15 that period of time would probably be between now 16 and the time you open your temporary facility in 17 one half year approximately. 18 MR. KLINEMAN: Okay. You have the cash 19 on hand for the temporary? 20 MR. RIBIS: Yes. 21 On hand or committed to MR. KLINEMAN: 22 you? 2.3 MR. RIBIS: No. We have cash available 24 which we could use out of our casinos of up to

\$100 million dollars, and we also have a bank

commitment from Bankers Trust to use for this
project. As for the permanent facility we don't
have -- until we have the commitment then we'll
go get the permanent financing.

MR. KLINEMAN: Again to Trump. I shouldn't have let you sit down so quickly. The proceedings that you went through, that the company went through in '90, '91, so on and so forth and the restructuring, is there anything that is coming due fairly soon which would postpone in connection with the restructuring so it would represent a fairly substantial drain on your ability to carry out this project?

MR. RIBIS: No. We have long-term financing on all of our three casinos which was completed in '91 and '92, as we've testified to before, and the earliest financing coming due on any casino was I think eight years from now.

MR. KLINEMAN: And I guess I didn't mean to limit it just to the restructuring that you went through. I mean, do you have any substantial commitment coming?

MR. RIBIS: I don't know what Donald has planned in the future. I think the way we run the company is each project now is individually

financed, and as far as I know we don't have any projects that we plan to do without having previously financed that, and that's how the company's been run. Mr. Trump might have things in his pocket that he's not told me about but not that I know of.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anyone else have anything for the Trump organization? I guess you brought up the subject of the disagreement with the Grand Hyatt. Has that been resolved since we last chatted in August?

MR. RIBIS: I can't -- I can tell you that as recently as this week we were with one of their very senior executives, and we came to an oral understanding. We had a couple of refinements to make, and I believe I had a phone call I made while I was out. I think we have an agreement on the entire settlement, and I could tell the staff I've been dealing with that.

MR. KLINEMAN: And Mr. Tabbert brought up the question about your ability to work with others and described that you are now in the process of working with Harrah's on some joint project in Atlantic City?

MR. RIBIS: I don't ever like to speak

for myself, but I can represent to you a
representation that I am the chairman of the
Casino Association which represents all the
casinos. We just completed a five year union
agreement with our largest union, over 50,000
members, successfully without a strike, without

any contention, and we did that as an association

- We just completed legislation which
 would revise some of our regulatory system which
 we did as an association. I'm on the CRDA which
 requires you to deal with state, city, and other
 officials. There are 13 members of that board.
- 14 I'm one of the members.

working together.

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- MR. KLINEMAN: I wasn't going to suggest that go through life making everyone mad.
- MR. RIBIS: Not me, Donald does that. I think I just lost my job. I have a daughter in college and a son in graduate school.
- MR. KLINEMAN: You know, again, we get down to the ability of the Trump organization not to be somewhat overbearing in a relationship, and I want to get into this Harrah's thing.
- MR. RIBIS: I'd like to talk about the
 Harrah's specifically because that idea I think I

can take credit for. We're both in the marina area in Atlantic City. There's only two casinos Harrah's Marina and Trump's Castle, and the major roadway into that area is Route 30. It's a four-lane separated highway that has just been redone over the past five years by the state.

And our entry into our city had an old police shooting range and derelict buildings and some vacant land and formerly used parking lots so we were able to jointly put in \$2.5 million each, and we're renovating that area. In fact, the architect that we had help us design the project, one of them, Sykes O'Connor, was the architect for both parties, and we've jointly done that, and it's under construction, and the money has been raised, and we're working together, and that is one of the concrete examples of what we can do with what's going on right now.

And as to the question of working with the people, I think fortunately or unfortunately Mr. Trump just gets more publicity than any human being that I know so many times things are just blown out of proportion, and they seem larger than they really are. I've been with the

```
1
     organization four years as the chief executive
 2
     officer, and I did legal work with Mr. Trump for
 3
     14 years before that. I think I know him pretty
 4
     well. A lot of that's over blown. I've been
 5
     around 18 years, and we're still talking, at
 6
     least until I'm finished talking here.
7
              MR. KLINEMAN: Poor Mr. Trump has these
 8
     people that are forcing him to write books and
 9
     appear on TV shows and things like that. Anyone
10
     else have anything else? I quess we'd like to
11
     ask a few questions of Lakeside.
12
                                Excuse me.
              AUDIENCE MEMBER:
13
              MR. KLINEMAN: Ma'am, are you with one
14
     of the developers here?
15
              AUDIENCE MEMBER: No, I'm not but I'd
16
     like to do this.
17
              MR. KLINEMAN: Ma'am, we're not in a
18
     position to receive anything from the public.
19
     We're in the process now where we're going to
20
     consider the applicants so if you have something
2 1
     to say ~-
22
              AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I have a letter here
23
     that --
24
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                             If you have something to
```

say to the Commission please put it in writing,

1 and it will be considered by the Commission, but 2 I'm not going to allow you to speak now. 3 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Why not? 4 MR. KLINEMAN: Because I just told you 5 we're in a process. We are in the middle of a 6 process, and you can't interrupt people during 7 the middle of the process, and I would appreciate 8 your sitting back down. 9 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I have a letter 10 here that I want to read to the --11 MR. KLINEMAN: I'm sorry. If you've got 12 a letter you give it to the lady on the end who 13 will make it part of the record which you brought 14 with you. 15 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I just want you 16 to know that the Native American Indianas are 17 opposed to this whole deal. 18 MR. KLINEMAN: I'm sorry, ma'am. 19 just not going to receive anything, okay. Wе 20 will be glad to receive that which is necessary. 21 She's going to leave. It's okay. Thank you very 22 much. I appreciate you coming down.

MR. KLINEMAN: We will be glad to have

American Indians --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I represent the

23

your information received --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, that's not fair.

MR. KLINEMAN: We are not having a public hearing at the present time. We've had a public hearing, and we're not going to have another one. Anything you want to submit you submit it in writing to our office.

Okay. Lakeside, your financial package I don't think has much question about it. I guess it's a pretty substantial, strong package. I would, however, like to ask you a little bit about your East St. Louis operation because I think President over here thinks that they're going to pick up some business from someplace. Do you have any idea of what the results of the passing of the slot program in Missouri is going to do to your reach in St. Louis?

MR. KENNY: Mr. Chairman, I'm Patrick
Kenny. I also, other than my investment here in
Lakeside Resorts, represent the Kenny family
interest in the Casino Queen. Our existing
business in the Casino Queen has been wonderful.
It has been a great business. We've built a
strong customer base. I think everyone in the
industry can tell you that we've treated our

customers well.

We've done well with our customers, and my crystal ball is not that good, as they opened this morning, to tell you other than our business is as normal this morning. It's a little too early to tell. We, of course, anticipate and hope that President develops a wonderful and expanded market in St. Louis and finds all their own customers.

MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you. Anyone else have anything for Lakeside group?

MR. VOWELS: I have just one quick question. It was addressed earlier about Sportopia, the teenage employment. Do you have any idea what numbers you're talking about, how many kids would be employed in the summertime?

MR. SMITH: That's Mr. Hall.

MR. HALL: Brian Hall, president of Sportopia. We estimate about 400 to 450 part-time and fulltime jobs. Obviously that will fluctuate with the season, some being the high season. We anticipate having up to 400 to 450 jobs.

MR. VOWELS: Do you have any idea what percentage of those would be the types of things

that teenagers could do?

MR. HALL: Well, I would think that we would have a majority of part-time help, and part-time normally would go to teenagers. I would estimate probably 50 percent of those to maybe 75 percent in the summertime would be part-time jobs.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: As long as we have Sportopia up here -- I can't remember your name.

MR. HALL: Brian Hall.

MS. BOCHNOWSKI: Okay. You had talked or there had been mention of the fact that there was financing for Sportopia. Is that for this Sportopia, or is that for your other Sportopia? Could you kind of clarify where you stand in relation to the Gary project?

MR. HALL: I'd be glad to. We are fully funded in Branson and moving ahead with the design. We hope to be breaking ground late spring, early summer. We open for the 1996 summer season. Gary, we have talked to several lenders and have very positive comments from them, but until we have a project it's kind of hard to get a commitment from a lender, but we're very confident.

We have fourteen to sixteen million dollars in equity committed in the land, infrastructure, and cash. I am extremely confident if Lakeside is selected as one of the licensees we could have a forward commitment in approximately I would say 90 days.

MR. KLINEMAN: Any of the other

Commissioners have any questions of any of the applicants?

MR. HENSLEY: Mr. Smith brought up a point a while ago about the use of the land facilities and the improvements and so forth as a part of the lending facility. Does anyone here see any problem in having this land become a one dollar a year lease as far as your financing is concerned?

MR. TRUMP: It is always -- we've seen no problem with it, but it is always much easier to finance a fee simple than it is a lease.

Institutions, and you have plenty of them here, do not like financing leases for a lot of reasons so it's a big advantage to have fee simple, but leases are financeable, not as well, but they are financeable.

MR. HENSLEY: I don't have any more

```
1
     questions, but since it's probably going to be
 2
     the last time I'll be addressing the group I just
 3
     wanted to say that I think Gary's very, very
 4
     fortunate to have four applicants as strong as
 5
     you have been, and I'm not sure that it makes our
 6
     job that much easier because it is a tough choice
     that we have to make.
 7
 8
              MR. KLINEMAN:
                            Thank you. Mr. Thar, do
9
     you have anything?
10
              MR. THAR: I do if I may. If you'll
11
     just kind of stick with me and give me short
12
     answers we can get through this relatively
13
    quickly. Starting with Barden/President going
14
     through the rest of them I don't want your past
15
     estimates, I don't want your earliest dates, I
16
     don't want to know when. I want to know when you
17
     can start operations if you are to get a
18
     certificate of suitability today.
19
              MR. BARDEN: Mid-April.
20
              MR. BOB FARAHI: May 26th.
21
              MR. SMITH:
                          Don Smith, Lakeside.
22
     Day, Mr. Thar.
23
              MR. RIBIS: Between May 1st and June
24
     1st, we think May 15th.
```

MR. THAR:

You still think you could

```
1
    stick to the mid-April?
2
              MR. BARDEN:
                           (Nods head.)
3
              MR. THAR:
                        You've already indicated
4
     about a potential gaming venture in Iowa. What
     other jurisdictions are you expanding into it?
5
6
              MR. ELLERS: None at this time.
7
              MR. THAR: Are you looking at other
8
     jurisdictions?
              MR. ELLERS: Yes, but I would say most
9
     of them are at least a year to two years off.
10
11
              MR. THAR: What impact would those
     projects in other jurisdictions a year to two
12
13
    years off have on the Gary project?
14
                           I would say none at this
              MR. ELLERS:
     time. We try to finance each one of them on
15
16
     their own.
17
              MR. THAR:
                         If I understood Dunes
18
     correctly, they are not working in any other
19
     jurisdictions?
20
              MR. BOB FARAHI:
                                That's correct.
21
              MR. THAR:
                        Lakeside?
22
                          That's correct, Mr. Thar.
              MR. SMITH:
23
              MR. THAR:
                         Trump?
24
                          This is the only current
              MR. RIBIS:
25
     project we have.
```

1 MR. THAR: Starting with Dunes this 2 time, if I understood your presentation here 3 today, I saw three different harbor designs, the 4 original one that you brought forth in Gary with 5 boats next to each other in the harbor as it 6 exists, the second one which you presented today 7 with the sea wall that runs parallel to the 8 railroad tracks, and was there a third design 9 where you were digging an inland bay? That was just an 10 DUNES REPRESENTATIVE: 11 option. Since we're obligated to have a boat 12 there with a stiff penalty in case of delay that 13 was not forthcoming. We thought for passenger 14 safety and convenience that we could look at the 15 option of digging an inland bay on a temporary 16 basis. 17 MR. THAR: If I understand correctly, 18 you would propose to do whatever of those three 19 things work best? 20 DUNES REPRESENTATIVE: Absolutely. We've looked at all the options. 21

Barden/President, do you have

MR. BARDEN: Yes.

that capability?

22

23

MR. THAR: Lakeside?

MR. THAR:

```
1
              MR. SMITH: Mr. Thar, we presume in no
2
     way this can be done more effectively as to sit
3
     down with the other licensee and the city and
 4
    make that a joint effort.
5
              MR. THAR: You're not asking this
6
     Commission to buy one proposal?
              MR. SMITH: No, sir.
7
8
              MR. THAR:
                         Trump organization?
9
              MR. DENNEHY: Yes, we have that
10
     capability.
11
              MR. THAR: Mr. Barden, are we to presume
12
    you're going to use all your cash?
13
              MR. BARDEN:
                           My three year old daughter
14
     has made me commit to a fire truck for
15
     Christmas.
                 Other than that I think the available
16
     cash necessary to make this project succeed I
17
    will commit to in addition to what President
18
     already has in the bank and has committed to.
19
              MR. THAR:
                         I understand the financial
20
     package. Aside from the boat being paid for,
21
     President has $30 million in capital ready; is
22
     that correct, Mr. Ellers?
23
              MR. ELLERS: It's probably slightly less
24
     than that now. It could be in the low 20's at
```

this point because some moneys have been put into

```
the Gary boat already. I'd say it's in the
 1
 2
    mid-20's.
 3
              MR. THAR:
                         Somewhere between twenty and
 4
     twenty-five million dollars in cash?
 5
              MR. ELLERS: Yes.
                                 That's our best
 6
     estimate right now.
 7
              MR. THAR: Mr. Barden, what are you
 8
    willing to commit?
 9
              MR. BARDEN:
                           Whatever's left in terms of
10
     our other project and according to our proposal
11
     and schedule that we submitted to the Commission.
12
              MR. THAR: So you'd be able to at least
13
    match the capital contribution of President?
14
              MR. BARDEN:
                           Yes.
15
              MR. THAR: Was there anything Dunes
16
    wanted -- with regard to the financing on the
17
     remainder of the money what do you anticipate
18
     that will cost?
19
              MR. ELLERS:
                           To get the picture I think
20
     you have to go to the market, and I think the
21
     best parameter would be the deal that we just did
22
     in New Orleans which is effectively about a 22
23
     percent deal. We refinanced our bonds before
24
     that at 13 percent, and we'd be hardpressed to do
```

that today. I would estimate our cost of the

money will be somewhere in probably the 15 to 17 range.

2 1

MR. THAR: Well, the figures I've been hearing recently as of this week were in the 20 to 22 percent range, and I just wondered if you figured that's what it would cost.

MR. ELLERS: I think we can do it a little better than that, but I don't think that's typical.

MR. THAR: Can you go with a MasterCard? It's only 21.

MR. ELLERS: The reason I say that, I want to clarify that. We do have some assets. We have assets based on some boats. We can do some boat financing that will make our rate a little bit lower. We have gotten sixty to seventy million dollars unencumbered outside of our bonds, marine assets, which can be financed through much more traditional sources which would not be at 22 percent so that's why our rate would be better.

MR. THAR: Do you have anything to add to your financial package, Dunes?

MR. BOB FARAHI: Mr. Thar, we have two sources who have indicated a willingness to

```
1
    finance both the construction through the
2
    commission of banks. Some have been up to $20
3
    million, and then we will weigh the option as to
    whether we want to take a second offering on
4
5
    Monarch to raise additional funds for the new
6
    bond fund. We have those options.
7
              MR. THAR: What do you anticipate that
8
    would cost you?
9
              MR. BOB FARAHI: If we go out of the
     second financing there is no cost. If you go in
10
11
     the bond financing you're talking about 20 to 22
12
     percent.
13
              MR. THAR: Twenty to twenty-two
14
     percent. Lakeside?
15
              LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: Our financing
     proposal has not changed. This corporation is
16
17
     confident. We concur with the interest rates.
18
     It would probably be structured in not
19
     necessarily the total current pay. They could
20
     take a sweep of excess cash but effectively in
21
     that range.
22
              MR. THAR: Twenty to twenty-two
23
     percent?
```

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE:

Trump?

MR. THAR:

Yeah.

24

1 MR. RIBIS: I don't think our financing 2 package has changed. At this time you want to 3 use as much of your own money as possible. 4 estimates by Mr. Ellers and the other 5 participants of a high yield market today is 6 probably correct. 7 Lakeside had indicated that MR. THAR: 8 they parlayed or took a look at the effect that 9 Native American Indian gaming may have on the 10 market. Do you have any calculations or opinions 11 as to whether or not a casino in southwestern 12 Michigan or the six counties in Indiana would 1.3 have much effect on the numbers you presented to 14 the Commission? 15 MR. ELLERS: We have done an analysis, 16 and we stand by our original numbers. We compete 17 today against Native American casinos in Iowa, 18 also in Mississippi to a lesser extent, and we 19 have taken that into account. 20 MR. THAR: Thank you. Dunes? 21 DUNES REPRESENTATIVE: We have analyzed 22 that, and it would not change our numbers. 23 MR. THAR: Lakeside, what was the

LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE:

We think we've

24

25

effect?

```
1
     already accounted for that in the third or fourth
2
    year. Chicago's going to come on. Its going to
 3
    be a competitive environment. The advantage here
 4
     is the time frame which we can get in which is
 5
     early.
              MR. THAR: Would you say that your
 6
7
     original numbers are safe enough that it will not
 8
     have an effect?
 9
              LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE:
10
              MR. THAR:
                         Trump?
11
              MR. RIBIS:
                          Yes. We calculated other
12
     competition, including the Indians, in our
13
     original analysis.
14
              MR. THAR: In your original analysis
15
     what's the result?
16
              MR. DENNEHY: It hasn't changed our
17
     original projections.
18
              MR. THAR: You're not looking that far
19
     west for your market?
20
              MR. DENNEHY:
                            No.
21
              MR. THAR: City of Gary, Mr. King, I
22
     want to make sure I got this list right. It's
23
     the city's request that a development agreement
24
     be entered into between and among the city and
```

two developers after the issuance of the

```
1
     certificate of suitability approximately within
 2
     30 days?
 3
              MR. KING:
                         That's correct.
 4
              MR. THAR:
                        If I understand correctly,
 5
     all applicants are in agreement with that.
                                                  Ιs
 6
     there any applicant that is not?
 7
              (No response.)
 8
                         The City of Gary has heard
              MR. THAR:
 9
     the local ownership packages of these
10
                  Does that meet what the city has
     applicants.
11
     described as significant local ownership?
12
              MR. KING: For each individual
13
     applicant?
14
              MR. THAR:
                        Each applicant has a
15
     different type of a package. Does that satisfy
16
     what Gary was looking for in terms of ownership?
17
              MR. KING: Some more so than others.
18
              MR. THAR:
                         Mr. King, you indicated
19
     they'd like to see the parent companies sign
20
     development agreements; is that correct?
21
              MR. KING:
                         That's correct.
22
              MR. THAR:
                        Is there any applicant that
23
     disagrees with that?
24
              (No response.)
25
              MR. THAR:
                         Seeing no hands I assume they
```

```
1
     all agree.
                 If I understand correctly, the status
 2
     of all applicants, each applicant, meets the idea
 3
     of having a boat which they are working on within
 4
     30 days. Any applicant that does not raise your
     hand.
 5
 6
              (No response.)
 7
              MR. THAR: Project investments, the city
 8
     asked that one half of the funds for purchase of
 9
     the site and modification of the harbor be shared
10
     by the two applicants; is that correct, Mr.
11
     Kinq?
12
              MR. KING:
                         That's correct.
13
              MR. THAR:
                         Is there any applicant that
14
     disagrees with that proposition?
15
              (No response.)
16
                         It is also my understanding
              MR. THAR:
17
     that when the land is purchased it is to become
18
     city land at some time. The leases are not be
19
     higher than a dollar a year for a minimum of 39
20
     years; is that correct?
21
              MR. KING:
                         That's correct.
22
              MR. THAR:
                         It's my understanding the
23
     city desires one land off-site project in the
24
     area of $10 million; is that correct?
```

MR. KING:

Yes.

1 MR. THAR: Barden/President, what is 2 your \$10 million off-site project? 3 MR. BARDEN: Ten million. 4 MR. THAR: What is it? 5 MR. BARDEN: The Union Station. 6 MR. THAR: Dunes? The Sheraton Hotel. 7 MR. BOB FARAHI: 8 MR. THAR: Lakeside? 9 MR. SMITH: Renovation of the Sheraton. 10 MR. THAR: Trump? 11 Subsequent to December we MR. DENNEHY: 12 adjusted our presentation, our submission to the 13 part one and part two of the application, to 14 include the one percent security fund and the \$3 15 million for the police substations which wasn't 16 part of our initial package when we negotiated 17 with Gary so I think that is an item that we 18 would have to sit down with Gary and negotiate as 19 to -- we were under the impression at the time 20 that the safety and security of the citizens of 21 Gary was a priority, and that's where we 22 dedicated our time, but if they wish to go 23 somewhere else we can negotiate. 24 MR. THAR: Let's get more directed to

the issue raised by Gary, and that was that one

```
1
     of the things they desired was an off-site land
 2
     development in the amount of $10 million.
 3
     that in your proposal?
 4
              MR. DENNEHY: No, that was not in our
 5
     proposal. It's a trade-off. Things aren't in
 6
     the proposal with the city --
 7
                         I understand that, but --
              MR. THAR:
 8
              MR. DENNEHY:
                            You have to remember the
 9
     original proposal we did choose a project, and
10
     that was the marina project which, again, once we
11
     changed sites we changed to the security and the
12
     police substation format.
13
              MR. RIBIS:
                          We're not unwilling to do
14
            It's just a matter of matching up.
15
              MR. THAR:
                         Just a matter of sitting and
16
     down and negotiating?
17
              MR. DENNEHY:
                            Yes.
                                  If you're asking
18
     what's in our proposal now it's not currently in
19
     our proposal. We can negotiate it.
20
                         Do you have a binding
              MR. THAR:
21
     agreement with the city at this time?
22
              MR. RIBIS: We have letter agreement
23
     that was signed in December?
24
              MR. KING:
                         December.
```

MR. RIBIS:

I don't know if it's legally

1 | binding, but certainly we stand by it.

MR. THAR: There is no economic development agreement aside from that letter?

MR. RIBIS: No.

MR. THAR: There's been a request by

Gary that 66 percent of the employees be from

Gary and 90 percent from Lake County as a whole.

Is there any applicant who would disagree with

striving for those goals?

(No response.)

MR. THAR: Mr. King, what did I miss? I did miss a couple things. Emergency response plans you discussed, that's going to be required by the Commission, 2,500 total new jobs, two licenses today, emergency response training with the fire chief and Coast Guard.

MR. KLINEMAN: I want to expand on that a little bit then we'll get back to Mr. King while you're reviewing your list. The emergency response program, maybe the Mayor can respond to this. The emergency response program which is of utmost concern by statute to us, we are now hearing that people are going to be ready to go.

Now, there's going to be some slippage I guess, but at least they are committing

themselves to be ready to go. I want to be sure that the City of Gary's going to be ready to go in regards to making sure the emergency response program is in place and the people are trained and ready to go.

MAYOR BARNES: Mr. Chairman and Commissioners, we have a package which sets out fully in detail an existing emergency response team. We were able to get that through a request of Barden/President and President. It sets out all of the details. The only thing that needs to be done is to make sure that we tailor it to the needs obviously of our site location.

A meeting is already -- or I'm not sure if it's already scheduled, but there has been communication with our fire chief, Ben Perry, with Captain Clay Forrest and Lieutenant Kevin Kleckner of the U.S. Coast Guard for the express purpose of setting up the appropriate meetings in order to fast track that whole effort. So we are very confident that that will not create any problem in terms of being able to meet our requirements.

MR. KLINEMAN: It was my understanding you needed to get people trained in seaboard

fires or shipboard fires and shipboard things of that nature which you probably haven't dealt with in Gary. I know you have responded very well to the South Shore incident from everything I've learned, but this is a different ball game.

MAYOR BARNES: Mr. Chairman, the reason we responded well to that disaster is because in the last seven years our fire department has engaged specifically in disaster relief.

Obviously it is -- only a small portion of that has dealt with seagoing craft, but certainly some portion of it has been. But we're familiar with the dimensions of that requirement, and we are in contact with all the people that can assist us in making sure that we can fast track.

MR. KLINEMAN: I just want to be sure that Gary isn't holding back, you know, paying the people to go get trained. I'd like to see -- if Gary has to front the money, as you have in a lot of instances, I would like to see you spend that money. I'm sure you will work out some kind of reimbursment from the successful applicants, but it's important that that happen.

MAYOR BARNES: I understand, Mr. Chairman, and I certainly would be candid with

you that an important part of that initiative 1 2 we're attempting to do all those things that 3 we're in a position to afford to do, but I know 4 it's not a mystery that cash is one of those 5 items that Gary obviously has to stretch for in 6 order to make it happen. But we're confident we 7 will be able to meet the requirement because we 8 see it, as you do, as being essential, and we 9 don't intend for there to be any slippage at 10 all. 11 MR. KLINEMAN: Thank you. That's all I 12 have, and we're back to Mr. Thar and his 13 question. 14 MR. KING: Just a few other items. Wе 15 requested that the developers be responsible for 16 one half of the -- each developer would be 17 responsible for one half of the city's 18 prelicensing expenses and that it be forwarded to 19 the city within 30 days of the issuance of the 20 certificate of suitability. This would include 21 the project coordinator position also. 22 MR. THAR: What does that come to? 23 MR. KING: Pardon me? 24 MR. THAR: Ballpark figure what does

25

that come to?

MR. KING: Around a half million dollars.

MR. THAR: What's the applicant's fee on that? How much of it's useable? How much of the information you gave from that half million dollar expense is usable by the applicant?

MAYOR BARNES: What we have asked is that in terms of dealing with prelicensing expenses, again, that's an item that was included in the letter agreement with at least three of the applicants that are here, developers here, and that they agreed to. And at that time those figures I believe were estimated in excess of a half million dollars, in excess of it.

And the way we thought we would do that, and I think it was set out in the letter that we sent to you, Mr. Thar, on September the 13th, is that we basically do an audit in a sense and determine what of those things that would endure to the benefit of anyone who came to our site. And I think that if we did that in good faith we would be able to determine.

There are some items that obviously would not, but we believe that for the most part those expenses would because there are things we

```
would have to do in terms of having the expertise
1
     and the other things that are necessary in order
2
     to move on this matter that, quite frankly, I
 3
 4
    think we would not have been at this point,
5
    certainly from the city's perspective, and I
     think all of those developers, even those who may
6
 7
     have not, you know, been selected as preferred
 8
     developers.
 9
              MR. THAR:
                         Barden/President, have you
10
     agreed to that?
                           In our December 30th letter
11
              MR. BARDEN:
12
     and the subsequent follow-up development
13
     agreement of January 6th we agreed to a $250,000
14
     reimbursment for the city's cost. Anything over
15
     that would come out of fees ordinarily due to the
16
     city that may be advanced by us such as the three
17
     percent bonds fee.
18
              MR. THAR: So you have agreed to a flat
19
     quarter million dollars?
20
              MR. BARDEN: Yes, no questions asked.
21
              MR. THAR:
                         Dunes?
22
              MR. BOB FARAHI: Same with us.
23
                         Lakeside?
              MR. THAR:
24
              LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: Not having had
```

the benefit of negotiating with the city we want

```
1
     to go on record as saying that negotiations can
 2
     take place so that we can find out what the
 3
     basket looks like and not individually be asked
 4
     if this is the end of it.
 5
              MR. THAR: So you are not opposed to
 6
     paying?
 7
              LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE: We would like
 8
     to sit the city down in negotiations and see
 9
     what's --
10
              MR. THAR: But you're not opposed to the
11
     concept?
12
              LAKESIDE REPRESENTATIVE:
                                         No.
13
              MR. THAR: Trump?
14
              MR. RIBIS: Yes.
15
              MR. THAR: I'm sorry, Mr. King, are
16
     there any others?
17
              MR. KING:
                         There are a few other items.
18
     On second thought, I think we've covered it.
19
     me just cover something really important which is
20
     that the purchase of 80 percent of the materials
21
     and equipment be purchased locally, as I
22
     mentioned earlier, but it's critical and
23
     important for economic development. As you know
24
     and the members of the Commission know, there is
```

a process in which we have over 2,000 business

persons in the city of Gary who have gone through
a process and are just waiting for this Gaming

Commission to start to participate in.

MR. THAR: Barden/President, are you in a position to strive for that goal?

MR. ELLERS: We agree to use our best efforts consistent with your licensing requirements.

MR. KLINEMAN: We're only talking about ongoing purchases. For instance, I don't think Mr. Hanlon's going to qualify as your new employer according to Gary with respect to equipping some of these boats. We're talking about ongoing operational purchases which we were very in favor of. If you remember, we talked about that in Gary when we had the hearing. This is something the Commission does want to urge on the applicants.

MR. SUNDWICK: I think it's got to be -I think the city requirement's valid, but I think
it's got to be competitive, it's got to be
capable, and all those things. It seems to me
that whoever the successful applicants are if the
city said you have to purchase locally, and, you
know, there's nobody capable I think there's got

to be some common sense or otherwise we're
negotiating long-term contracts with the
businesses of Gary, Indiana, which may or may not
be competitive or capable. But I think if you
stay in the spirit of that I certainly agree with
it. If it's in the spirit of doing business with
the city I agree with it.

MR. SMITH: Mr. Sundwick has given our response.

MR. TRUMP: It's the same for us.

MR. THAR: The Commission has ruled in the past to review and, subject to further action, to discuss the requirements of the emergency response plan. This will be imposed upon the applicants by the Commission. Starting with Barden/President again and going to each of the applicants, are you willing to bear the cost, or a substantial portion of the cost, of what that emergency response plan may require since it's going to be required by the project? Mr. Ellers.

MR. ELLERS: That's consistent with how we operate in other jurisdictions, yes.

MR. THAR: Dunes?

MR. BOB FARAHI: It is.

MR. THAR: Trump?

MR. RIBIS: Yes.

MR. THAR: Lakeside?

MR. SMITH: (Witness nods head.)

MR. THAR: I have no further questions.

MR. KLINEMAN: Anyone else have anything to ask these applicants? Well, I guess I would join in the sentiment that Mr. Hensley expressed a few moments ago that the quality of the applicants has made our problem tenfold or more. I guess I'm really just thankful that we didn't start off with an easy one, that we started off with a hard one because we're going to have a lot of these decisions to make down the road.

Obviously in a process like this there will be some winners and some losers. There is no reason for those people who do not get the nod to feel that they were really inadequate. It's going to be a very close call, and there's always in every horse race somebody puts his nose across the finish line, and that's the way the race is decided. So I think we're into that kind of close call, but we're going to do the best we can.

What we'll probably do is choose the

favored two applicants today. It will allow those people to receive a certificate of suitability subject to some terms and conditions that we're going to ask Mr. Than to work on in the next couple of weeks so what you will basically get today is a decision subject to putting some of the details on the conditions.

I will tell you that the conditions that we're talking about have been discussed with each of you, and I think that it won't present any big problems. We're not going to try to surprise you in any respect, but it will be subject to conditions.

And what we're going to do is probably take a ten minute break, relax for a minute.

We're going to reconfigure our set-up here a little bit so we can get in a more back and forth situation as far as the Commission members are concerned, and we will then discuss the awarding of the conditional certificates of suitability. All of our discussions will be public, and anyone who wishes to stay and listen to us rattle around feel free to do it. It probably won't be a pretty program, but we're going to get the job done, and we will take that up at quarter after

4:00. MR. THAR: One item, if I could have your attention, Conference Room A across the hall, Miss Green will show you where it's at, is available for the applicants to utilize if they want to meet with the press now or at the conclusion or at any other time. Thank you all. (After a short break in the proceedings the Commission went into Final Session.)

STATE OF INDIANA)
) SS:
COUNTY OF MARION)

I, Maria W. Collier, Stenographic
Reporter within and for the County of Marion,
State of Indiana, do hereby certify that on the
9th day of December, 1994, I reported the
foregoing Public Meeting; and that the transcript
is a full, true and correct transcript made from
my stenograph notes.

Maria W. Collier, Residing in Marion County, Indiana

My Commission Expires: August 25, 1995